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Dear Reader,

If, like me, you are equal parts inspired and overwhelmed by the magnitude of Sustainable Development Goal 2: *End hunger, achieve food security and improved nutrition, and promote sustainable agriculture*, then in January 2017 you received some mixed news. In that month the World Economic Forum released the results of a scenarios planning exercise that offered a glimpse into four possible futures for global food systems. Ranging from dire to promising, the scenarios provoked many questions. Among them: what must we do to stave off the most ill-fated future? What investments should we make? What long shots in research, technology, and innovation should we develop and scale? And how do these scenarios vary depending upon whether you live in a low-, middle-, or high-income country?

These unanswered questions were enticing in their criticality. And so my team at the Global Knowledge Initiative (GKI) initiated a “Scan for Transformational Innovations.” Guiding our scan were a set of assumptions we’d developed through previous work in futures and innovation assessment, namely:

- The future isn’t a fixed point in time. It is malleable and the very act of exploring it is a first step in shaping it.
- For any challenge substantial enough to be dubbed “pressing”, “grand”, or “complex” a multitude of innovations—likely straddling process, product, organizational, and market-based—will be required.
- The way in which innovation delivers impact is incredibly context-specific. Understanding systems is key to understanding whether and to what degree innovation will matter.

Guided by these ideas, we began our Transformational Innovation Scan by looking at innovation from two angles. First, we wanted to understand those innovations existing today that hold the most promise to transform food systems in emerging markets in the next five years. Second, we sought to make sense of those forces shaping our world, such as urbanization, wealth disparity, a changing climate, and nutrition and health inequities, to distill how they are transforming the context in which food systems will exist in 2035. We recognized that contending with these forces of change, and transforming food systems to be more environmentally, economically, and socially sustainable, demands unleashing innovation that is as transformational as the forces reshaping it.

To imagine, identify, and evaluate such transformational innovations were the motives behind this research effort. We paid special attention to innovations that address post-harvest loss. GKI currently serves as the Innovation Partner for YieldWise—The Rockefeller Foundation’s $130 million 7-year initiative to halve post-harvest food loss (PHL) in the developing world. However, we opened the aperture more broadly, scanning also for innovations germane across global food systems. Filtering these ideas through an expert-driven research process guided the design of our methodology. Through it, we succeeded in ushering 50 global experts through parallel processes to pinpoint likely matches between future scenarios and the innovations that can improve them on dimensions social, environmental, and economic. This report marks the conclusion of our research.

Whether you are an investor, policymaker, philanthropist, business leader, or development practitioner, we hope you’ll find value in using the insights offered in this report to unleash innovations best poised to advance our planet toward a more sustainable and inclusive global food system. In doing so, we hope you’ll find hope, inspiration, and fuel for your own innovation journey in these pages.

- Sara E Farley, Co-Founder & Chief Operating Officer, GKI
About the Global Knowledge Initiative
The Global Knowledge Initiative (GKI) is a non-profit organization based in Washington, D.C. GKI builds purpose-driven networks to deliver innovative solutions to pressing global challenges. It uses an integrated, systems approach to create the environment, mindset, and tools that enable problem-solvers to innovate and collaborate more effectively. As a grantee and Innovation Partner for The Rockefeller Foundation’s YieldWise Initiative, GKI works to boost the degree to which innovation is used to improve the efficiency, effectiveness, and, ultimately, the impact of YieldWise.
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Launched in 2016, YieldWise is an initiative of The Rockefeller Foundation aimed at demonstrating how the world can halve post-harvest food loss by 2030. By taking a systemic approach to loss reduction, YieldWise aims to improve rural livelihoods, build less vulnerable ecosystems, and increase the availability of nutritious foods. YieldWise currently focuses on demonstrating loss reduction in four value chains in Sub-Saharan Africa: mangoes in Kenya, maize in Tanzania, and tomatoes and cassava in Nigeria.
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PART 1
INTRODUCTION & EXECUTIVE SUMMARY
The future of global food systems hinge on how we respond to the challenges of today and prepare for the challenges of tomorrow. In its 2017 Scenarios Analysis on Shaping the Future of Global Food Systems, the World Economic Forum foreshadowed global food systems in 2030 teetering between unsustainable production and consumption and torn between isolationism and collaboration. Called to action by these scenarios, the Global Knowledge Initiative (GKI) and The Rockefeller Foundation endeavored to identify the top immediately investible and emerging innovations that will be catalytic in reducing post-harvest food loss (PHL) and transforming food systems in emerging markets within the next 20 years. Thus, GKI conducted an Innovation Scan from April to October 2017 in its role as the Innovation Partner grantee for The Rockefeller Foundation’s YieldWise Initiative, a $130 million initiative to demonstrate 50% reduction in PHL in key value chains by 2030. This report is the culmination of this effort.

THE GOAL OF THIS EFFORT WAS TO DEEPEN OUR COLLECTIVE UNDERSTANDING OF THOSE TRULY TRANSFORMATIONAL INNOVATIONS THAT WILL RESHAPE FOOD SYSTEMS IN EMERGING MARKETS FROM NOW TO 2035.

Through this Innovation Scan, we engaged global experts in the fields of agribusiness, academia, investment, innovation, international development, and Futures Foresight to examine the above challenge from two angles:

1. What are the most promising innovations that exist today, or are just over the horizon, that merit investment?

2. How can next-generation innovations bridge the gap between the present state of the food system and the future system to which we aspire?

Our findings to the first question live in Section 2: Investible Innovations (pg. 20), and our findings to the second live in Section 3 Emerging Innovations (pg. 77).

WHO SHOULD READ THIS REPORT?

Investors can use these findings to indentify those challenges or innovative solutions most likely to meet an existing or likely market demand, and which have untapped commercial potential.

Policymakers can use these findings to assess how high-priority innovations can be enabled through good governance, new regulations, targeted funding, infrastructure development, and cross-sectoral alignment.

Innovators can research, design, create, and test their own ideas that build upon the innovative solutions in this report.

Business Leaders can use these findings to expand into new markets and serve new consumers with new products that meet unaddressed needs.
In Section 2 (pg. 20), we present profiles of the top 22 investible innovations that should be further investigated, developed, and championed today to build resilient and prospering food systems in emerging markets over the next five years. This selection of innovations emerged through a rigorous process of divergence and convergence with an expert panel. Together, these experts helped to illuminate the transformational potential of each innovation, including the existing market opportunities, comparative advantage, critical risks, and performance across an array of evaluation criteria, explained in more detail on the next page and in Section 2 on pg. 31-32.

The top 22 investible innovations for today can be found in the table below. Some innovations, such as evaporative cooling systems, offer quick wins. These low-hanging fruit are solutions that value chain actors can adopt quickly with minimal training and low up-front costs. Other innovations, such as modular factories, are believed to have the most potential to reduce PHL in the long run. Still other innovations yield benefits that primarily accrue to smallholder farmers, or would have the most positive environmental impact, or would face substantial systems barriers, such as data and transport infrastructure, before delivering impact. See pg. 33-76 for detailed profiles for all 22 Investible Innovations.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Investible Innovations for Impact Today</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1. Cooperative packaging solutions</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. Modular factories</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. Near-farm mobile processing</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4. Mobile packhouses &amp; pre-cooling</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5. Dehydration for smallholders</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6. Battery technologies</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7. On-farm solar preservation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8. Crates adapted for SHF supply chains</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9. Micro cold transport</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10. Adaptable reefer containers</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11. Cold chain as a service</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12. Micro-warehousing and shipping</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13. Evaporative cooling systems</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14. Biodegradable coatings</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15. Microbes for agriculture</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16. Early warning system for diseases &amp; pests</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Quick Wins**
- Evaporative cooling systems
- Cooperative packaging solutions
- Crates adapted to smallholder supply chains
- Biodegradable coatings
- First-loss capital guarantee for PHL reduction

**Greatest Potential to Reduce PHL**
- Modular factories
- Near-farm mobile processing
- Dehydration for smallholders
- Micro-warehousing & shipping
- Specialty marketing for PHL-prone crops

**Benefits Accrue to Smallholders**
- Adaptable reefer containers
- Behavioral economics for agriculture
- Mobile pre-cooling & packhouses
- Specialty marketing for PHL-prone crops
- Mobile education centers

**Environmental Sustainability**
- Battery technologies
- On-farm solar preservation
- Evaporative cooling
- Early warning systems for plant disease and pests
- Microbes for agriculture

**Most Substantial Systems Barriers**
- Cold chain as a service
- Early warning systems for plant diseases and pests
- Improved traceability technologies
- Farm-to-fork virtual marketplace
- Mobile education centers
To gain confidence in each innovation’s estimated potential, our expert panel converged from 100 evaluation criteria to a suite of 8 to serve as an objective, intuitive, and comprehensive tool with which to better assess the potential of an innovation to achieve the goals of this effort. In the innovation profiles on pg. 33-76, we include an estimate of how each innovation performs on each criterion, as projected by our expert panel. Absent rigorous field tests or primary research, these are just that – estimates.

Supporting Emerging Innovations Now for Impact in 2035

We also asked our expert panel to imagine how innovation could alter the course of the future as offered in WEF’s four scenarios from its 2017 Scenarios Analysis. In some instances, our experts described specific applications for emerging innovations that could fundamentally rewrite the way our food systems work. Quantum computing, blockchain, Internet for All, and synthetic biology are but a few of the innovations indicated as promising in the pursuit of such transformation. Other times, experts offered ideas about the opportunities that exist for transformational innovation to reshape agricultural systems altogether. We view these opportunities as Invitations for Innovation. In Section 3 (pg. 77), we issue 10 such invitations, each of which is meant to capture the imagination of investors, policymakers, innovators, researchers, and you to inspire bold efforts to reshape food systems in emerging markets.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Evaluation Criteria</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Affordability</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The income range of individuals and institutions who could afford the innovation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Usability</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The amount of training required for the end-user to effectively use the innovation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Scalability</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The point in the diffusion process at which the innovation could be scaled within 5 years</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Smallholder benefits</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The percentage of benefits that would likely accrue to smallholder farmers</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>PHL reduction potential</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The innovation’s potential to reduce current levels of post-harvest loss</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Sustainability</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The length of time that external support would be required before the innovation is accepted, adopted, and provides benefits</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Energy considerations</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The type of energy access required to deploy and operate the innovation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Environmental impact</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The innovation’s likely impact, either positive or negative, on the environment</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
The year is 1973 and it's the height of the Cold War. The world's two superpowers, the United States and USSR, are locked in a global game of chess. Avoiding straightforward conflict, they opt instead to engage in proxy wars and the deployment of strategic missile installations. Meanwhile, another battle rages in space. As the two superpowers continue to vie for supremacy in both arenas, the Pentagon hatches a plan.

Flash forward to five years later, a balmy day in Southern California in February 1978. At the Vandenberg Airforce Base, an Atlas series rocket, a converted intercontinental ballistic missile, is being prepped for launch by the United States. Its payload is an unmanned navigation satellite, equipped with dual solar arrays, nickel-cadmium batteries, an S-band Space-Ground Link System for the Air Force to control the satellite, and an L-band communication system for navigation information. Once the Atlas delivers its payload, the satellite, the first of ten Navstar Block I satellites that will successfully launch over the next 7 and a half years will enter a medium Earth orbit at a distance of roughly 22,200 km from Earth. In orbit, it will circle the planet every 12 hours, relaying geolocation information back to Earth.

It is now September 2, 1983. The Republic of Korea is mourning. The day prior, Korean Air Lines Flight 007 flew to Seoul from New York City via Anchorage, Alaska. While on the final leg of the flight from Anchorage to Seoul, the pilots deviate from the original path, unaware they are entering prohibited Soviet Airspace. After firing warning shots, an Su-15 interceptor shoots down the aircraft. All 269 civilians aboard, including passengers, pilots, and crew, perish. In two weeks U.S. President Reagan will announce that a new Pentagon-developed technology—the Global Positioning System, or GPS—will be made available for civilian use free of charge to help prevent similar tragedies.
Now fast forward to the present day. A computer small enough to fit in your purse or pocket is constantly communicating with the successors of the original Navstar Block I satellites—the Block IIF. Using GPS, today’s smartphones can guide you around a new town, or help you to find new places in a familiar one. Shop owners use it to track shipments; miners to position their equipment; and farmers to optimize field activity through precision agriculture practices like field mapping.

With innumerable applications that span agriculture, logistics, transport, military, recreation, aviation, extractives, and more, GPS is truly a transformational innovation, one that not only introduces a substantial improvement to current practice, but also provides entry into new markets, sectors, and application spaces. Also called breakthrough or disruptive, transformational innovations are the innovations that, when successful, make headlines. In their 2012 Harvard Business Review article, Bansi Nagji and Geoff Tuff explain how transformational innovation differs from “core innovation” (the current, standard area of innovation for a company) and “adjacent innovation” (that area of innovation just beyond current practice that entails serving existing customers and markets with a new product, process, or organizational offering).

Unique for its ability to reimagine not only how a problem is solved but the nature of the problem itself, transformational innovation is the audacious, bold innovation target that most innovation misses.

So why in this report do we concern ourselves with transformational innovation, and in the agricultural sector no less?

In its 2017 Scenarios Analysis on Shaping the Future of Global Food Systems, the World Economic Forum foreshadowed a hotter, more crowded planet, one teetering between unsustainable production and consumption and torn between isolationism and collaboration. In some depictions of the future, various global actors heed the call to upend unsustainable patterns of production and consumption; while in others, apathy and inequity combine to seal a perilous fate for large portions of the planet.
Looking across the WEF scenarios, one message is clear: to adapt to any of the possible futures, we have no choice but to succeed at transformational innovation.

This imperative raises two questions. First, how might we improve at detecting transformational innovation as it emerges? Consider the case of GPS. During the history of GPS’ design and deployment, when did someone realize that it could transform far more than ballistic missile tracking? Second, how might we frame opportunities for transformational innovation in such a way as to encourage action by innovators capable of unleashing it?

Inspired by these questions, GKI and The Rockefeller Foundation undertook an ambitious effort to identify the top immediately investible and futuristic innovations that will be catalytic in reducing post-harvest food loss (PHL) from now through 2035. We convened global experts at the foundation’s Bellagio Center, known for sparking creative thinking. There, GKI led this group of global thought leaders to pinpoint dozens of investible innovations to tackle the PHL challenge, including many technologies and process innovations that we can point to right now, such as crop-monitoring drones and advanced cooling technology. However, other innovation areas are so early-stage that we couldn’t say for certain whether they offer solutions or not. In such instances, we can merely identify indications of needed change (e.g., urban migration and competition for land use) and research areas ripe for application (e.g., quantum computing and synthetic biology). It is there, in those emerging pockets of innovation and ideas for research, that we hope to provoke a reaction (and action) from global innovators and investors seeking to usher in the next Big Thing in agriculture.

But framing an opportunity to innovate so that it guides the efforts of would-be solvers can be harder than it sounds. Science biography writer David Bodanis writes of the power and difficulty in articulating a “mid-level abstraction”—a well-framed challenge specific enough to guide action but lofty enough to inspire. Those research centers, managers, and companies that achieve well-articulated mid-level abstractions have higher rates of breakthrough success, and Nobel Prize-winning discoveries, according to Bodanis. For GKI, in spearheading the expert-driven process that yielded this report, among the most powerful insights sourced from experts were a compilation of Invitations for Innovation, or, mid-level abstractions (see Section 3: Emerging Innovations, pg. 82-102).
There are more people living on earth in this moment than any previous moment in human history. This report is being published in 2017, but, barring an unforeseen global catastrophe, if someone picks up this report at any point over the next thirty years that statement will still be true.

The World Health Organization estimates that, of our current population of roughly 7.5 billion people, there are 800 million who suffer from hunger and 2 billion who suffer from nutrient deficiencies, the vast majority of whom live in emerging markets in East Asia and the Pacific, Latin America and the Caribbean, the Middle East and North Africa, South Asia, and Sub-Saharan Africa. With so many people suffering from hunger and nutrient deficiencies in low- and middle-income countries, a staggering amount of the world’s food nonetheless goes uneaten. Estimates vary, but in Sub-Saharan Africa somewhere between 30-60% of food that is grown never reaches the plates of consumers. Much of this loss happens between when the food is harvested and when it reaches the market, a challenge known as post-harvest food loss (PHL).

Inefficiencies inherent in smallholder supply chains, which characterize much of the food production in low-income countries, offer a partial explanation for PHL. Small load sizes, lack of access to electricity, and poor rural transport infrastructure further contribute to inefficiency, which leads to losses in the form of food, and contributes to the problems described above. Further, when food is lost, it has implications for the livelihoods of smallholders, who lose out on income generating opportunities when they use limited resources to grow food that does not make it to market.

When looked at as a whole, transforming the systems in which smallholders operate may seem a daunting task. But, by asking pointed questions such as *How might we increase smallholder access to post-harvest loss-reducing products and services?*, we can turn these challenges into opportunities to innovate.

Ultimately, uncertainty about the future should not be an impediment to innovation today. While we cannot know for certain what the future holds, we can use the tools and resources available to consider its possibilities. One such resource is the aforementioned World Economic Forum report whose authors engaged in a Scenarios Planning exercise to produce their analysis. The resultant four scenarios serve as a useful starting point for considering how food systems may evolve over the next 20 years. However, the scenarios only paint the picture of *what could be*, not how to make it so. This report, and its underpinning research process, endeavors to take the WEF scenarios analysis a step further by seeking solutions that will help us build food systems in low-income countries that yield the outcomes we want—reductions in post-harvest loss, greater environmental sustainability, improved health and nutrition, and benefits to smallholder farmers.
Policymakers and advocates play an essential role in fostering the enabling environment to support innovation. Policymakers are invited to use our findings to assess how high-priority innovations could be enabled through good governance, new standards and regulations, targeted funding, infrastructure development, and cross-sectoral alignment. In particular, the enabling environment features corresponding with the innovations in Section 2 on pg. 33-76 offer a jumping off point for policy prioritization.

For any innovation or innovator to achieve impact, support is needed along the journey from idea to impact. Investors, funders, and donors are invited to use our findings to guide their quest for those challenges or innovative solutions that are most likely to (1) meet an existing or likely market demand, (2) have untapped commercial potential, (3) achieve scale across multiple contexts, and (4) make a real difference in the lives of people in low-income countries and emerging markets.

Innovators and researchers are invited to use our findings to research, design, create, and test their own ideas that build upon the innovative solutions in this report. In particular, Section 3 on pg. 82-102 offer explicit invitations to innovate that correspond with various forces of change that demand new research, innovation, and invention. With reference to emerging innovations, these invitations point to the white space where your efforts are vitally needed.

Alignment and support from the private sector is a prerequisite for any innovation to achieve scale in emerging markets. Private sector leaders are invited to use these findings as inspiration for expansion into new markets to serve new consumers with new products. We also hope that the business community will join the development community in crafting an agenda for innovation in alignment with triple bottom line goals.

More than anything, we hope that this report will spur action. Action to find new solutions inspired by the conclusions. Action to find new partners to develop, test, and champion those solutions. And action to take the findings, analyze them differently, and turn them into something wholly new. Below are some ideas for how this report could be of use to the investor, policymaker, innovator, or business leader.
As the Innovation Partner grantee for The Rockefeller Foundation’s YieldWise Initiative, GKI often applies a periscope approach to scan for global forces and innovative solutions to universal challenges that stymie the efforts of YieldWise and the agricultural sector more generally. This was our intent for the current effort, a global Innovation Scan seeking to deepen our collective understanding of those truly transformational innovations that will reshape agriculture between the present and 2035.

GKI employed a philosophy rooted in our organizational core to conduct this Innovation Scan: Collaborative Innovation. To us, Collaborative Innovation is the act of combining efforts and sharing complementary resources to create, test, and implement innovative ideas together. We believe that when changemakers collaborate with partners across organizations, sectors, and cultures, they are better able to unleash innovations with the potential for big impact.

Therefore, to identify and understand which innovations have potential to reduce PHL and transform food systems, we collaborated with global thought leaders at every step in our Innovation Scan.
GKI first convened a diverse group of global experts at The Rockefeller Foundation’s Bellagio Center in April 2017. Over the course of three days, participants discussed prevailing opinions on the critical challenges related to post-harvest loss (PHL); examined scores of pervasive, nascent, and yet-to-be-imagined innovations poised to help us address these challenges; and, ultimately, constructed pathways of innovations with the potential to reduce PHL and achieve The Rockefeller Foundation’s goals of nutritional security, sustainable ecosystems, and secure rural livelihoods.

We emerged from Bellagio with a clearer understanding of the role that innovation can play in addressing PHL and, better yet, a list of dozens of prospective innovations brimming with potential to address the challenge.

But we didn’t yet know which innovations had the most potential to reduce PHL. Nor did we know which could have the most significant impact on food and nutrition security, environmental sustainability, and the lives of smallholder farmers. Nor did we know how current food systems would need to change to enable these and more cutting-edge innovations to be transformational. These were the central areas of inquiry for our continued investigation.

We next invited prolonged engagement with 40 experts to gradually converge upon those innovations with the highest potential to reduce post-harvest loss and improve food systems in emerging markets from now through 2035. We used a modified Delphi Technique at this stage because of the approach’s unique ability to both forecast the likelihood and outcome of future events and shepherd a group with diverse perspectives toward consensus. The Delphi Technique, developed by RAND in the 1950s to estimate the impact of technology on warfare, guides a panel of experts through an iterative, multi-stage survey process, with each successive round designed to solicit reactions to the responses from previous rounds, ultimately leading to convergence on top ideas.

Through our Delphi process, we engaged experts in the fields of agribusiness, academia, investment, innovation, international development, and Futures Foresight to examine our thesis questions from two angles, listed below. Our experts divided into two cohorts to examine each question exclusively.

1. What are the most promising innovations that exist today, or are just over the horizon, that merit investment?

2. How can next-generation innovations bridge the gap between the present state of the food system and the future system to which we aspire?
Each expert cohort engaged in three successive response rounds, as shown below in the process diagram. After each round, GKI analyzed and summarized responses, and reported the results back to the cohort so that they could react to and refine their opinions through a subsequent round. Through three survey rounds, we walked away with a sounder understanding of the agricultural challenges ripe for innovation; the areas of innovation most poised to address these challenges; and the specific innovations that should be championed today, tomorrow, and through 2035 if we are to achieve an agricultural future as imagined by The Rockefeller Foundation and so many of us.

More information on the specifics and, more importantly, the findings from each round can be found in Section 2: Investible Innovations on pg. 20 and Section 3: Emerging Innovations on pg. 77.
PART 2
INVESTIBLE INNOVATIONS
Transformational innovation is often the groundbreaking technology or business model that turns conventional thinking on its head. But sometimes, core and adjacent innovations—innovations that seem more incremental in nature—are capable of ushering in large-scale, positive change, sustained over the long-term as well.

In this section, we present profiles on high-priority investible innovations, whether they be transformational, adjacent or core, with potential to reduce PHL in smallholder value chains and contribute to the improvement of food systems more broadly in emerging markets over the next five years. This selection of innovations emerged through a rigorous process of divergence and convergence that involved some of the world’s leading minds from a diverse range of sectors (see our Research Process on pg. 17-19). Together, this panel of experts helped to illuminate the transformational potential of a top list of innovations, including each innovation’s existing market opportunities, comparative advantage, critical risks, and performance across an array of criteria.

You will find profiles of the top 22 investible innovations beginning on pg. 33. Prior to that, we walk through the process that helped surface these innovations and eliminated those innovations that would ultimately require more than five years of support to achieve widespread impact in smallholder value chains.

For these and other more cutting-edge innovations, we conducted a separate inquiry with research questions aimed at detecting innovations poised to have impact across a longer time horizon: from now through 2035 and beyond. It is these innovations that have even greater transformational potential: the potential to usher in a different future for food systems, or help us adapt to one should it emerge. Turn to pg. 77 for the findings from this parallel Innovation Scan on Emerging Innovations.
GKI embarked upon this journey with an initial list of 65 prospective innovations, shown below. Many surfaced at GKI’s convening on Transformational Innovations at The Rockefeller Foundation’s Bellagio Center in April 2017. Others emerged during our first engagement with experts in the Delphi survey. We believed that each innovation presented a unique value proposition for smallholder agriculture in emerging markets, but we did not know which could have the most potential to reduce PHL. Nor did we know which could have the most significant impacts for food security, nutrition, environmental sustainability, and smallholder livelihoods. These were the questions we took to our panel.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Awareness &amp; Capacity Building</th>
<th>Business Model</th>
<th>Data</th>
<th>Energy</th>
<th>Life Sciences &amp; Engineering</th>
<th>Packaging &amp; Processing</th>
<th>Storage &amp; Transport</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Behavioral economics for agriculture</td>
<td>Community/kickstarter-supported agriculture</td>
<td>Aflatoxin detection and reduction technologies</td>
<td>2nd generation biofuels from edible biomass</td>
<td>1-MCP technology</td>
<td>Automated sorting and packaging</td>
<td>Adaptable reefer containers</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fee-based extension services</td>
<td>Farm-to-fork virtual marketplace</td>
<td>Blockchain logistics</td>
<td>Battery technologies</td>
<td>Antimicrobial fogging</td>
<td>Cellulose crating and packaging materials</td>
<td>Biosequestration of carbon in crop storage</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Global PHL accounting protocol</td>
<td>Farming as a service</td>
<td>Distribution system mapping</td>
<td>On-farm solar preservation</td>
<td>Biodegradable coatings</td>
<td>Cooperative packaging solutions</td>
<td>Crates adapted for smallholder supply chains</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Legislative support for farmer cooperatives</td>
<td>First-loss capital guarantee for PHL reduction</td>
<td>Early warning systems for plant disease and pests</td>
<td>Smart grids for agriculture</td>
<td>Bio-waste consumer goods</td>
<td>Dehydration for smallholders</td>
<td>Cold chain as a service</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mobile education centers</td>
<td>Multinational corporation anchor buyers</td>
<td>Fiber optic biosensors</td>
<td>Genetically engineered loss-resistant crops</td>
<td>Ethylene absorption technologies</td>
<td>Drone delivery of fresh produce</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Open-source platform for PHL innovations</td>
<td>Private sector innovation and incubation hub</td>
<td>Government satellites for agriculture</td>
<td>Kilobots</td>
<td>Irradiation for smallholder value chains</td>
<td>Evaporative cooling systems</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Public awareness campaigns to reduce PHL</td>
<td>Specialty marketing for PHL-prone crops</td>
<td>Hyperspectral imaging</td>
<td>Microbes for agriculture</td>
<td>Mobile packhouses</td>
<td>Micro-warehousing and shipping</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Improved traceability technologies
- Low-cost moisture meters
- Millimeter wave sensors
- Mobile ethylene monitoring
- Open-source supply chain planning
- Open-source PHL mapping
- Post-harvest data to improve access to finance
- Smart sensors

- Nanomaterials for PHL reduction
- Modular factories
- Near-farm mobile processing
- Silk fibroin coating
- Smart packaging
- Retrofitted cold storage for smallholder value chains
- Uber for produce delivery
- Unmanned first-mile transport
Over the course of three months, our expert panel scrutinized the 65 innovations on this list. They answered tough questions about each innovation’s surmised utility, market potential, comparative advantage, risks, capital and operating costs, systemic barriers, time to scale, time to achieve impact, need for additional R&D, and a dozen other important considerations. In the end, and in the absence of costly and time-consuming field tests, we relied on the power of collective intelligence to illuminate which innovations have the highest potential to reduce PHL and improve food systems in emerging markets over the next 5 years.

The expert panel collectively narrowed this list to 22 innovations. These 22, listed on the following pages, are believed to be the innovations that should be further investigated, developed, and championed today to build resilient and prospering food systems in emerging markets over the next five years. You’ll notice that many of these innovations are simply innovative spins on existing products, processes, and business models, such as mobile packhouses, micro-warehouses and first-loss guarantees for PHL reduction. Packhouses, warehouses, and first-loss guarantees are not novel in their own right, but their newly imagined adaptation to smallholder agriculture is.

You’ll also notice that many of these innovations slant toward applications in fresh fruits and vegetable (FFV) value chains. This was our original priority when we began this Innovation Scan, due to the particularly high rates of PHL for FFVs, and the implications it has on the availability of vital micronutrients. It was not until later in the Innovation Scan that we began to consider applications of these solutions in other value chains. Despite this, the insights of our expert panel suggest that many of the innovations offer the potential to reduce PHL across multiple value chains, not only FFVs, but also roots & tubers, grains, and animal proteins. What is important for innovators and investors to consider is how the innovations must be adapted to achieve impact.
Perhaps just as interesting are those innovations that ultimately weren’t prioritized. While these might look promising at first glance, upon deeper investigation they were largely eschewed. Not because they were not deemed important, but because it may be unrealistic to expect these innovations to reach the scale needed for impact over the next five years. For instance, our experts think that more technologically advanced innovations related to data collection and monitoring, life sciences, and engineering, will be needed over the longer term. However, they are not yet practical for smallholder agriculture and value chains in a low-income contexts.

In short, several key reasons led our panel to reject an innovation. Among the most common reasons were: (1) too long of a timeline for implementation or to reach scale; (2) the need for considerable R&D before utility in emerging markets could be assessed; and (3) too heavy a dependence on political or popular support for near-term implementation. To get a better sense of what our experts said, some of their comments are offered to the right.

But while these innovations were not elevated to the top investment picks for the purposes of this Innovation Scan, it does not mean they are not workable solutions for PHL reduction. Rather, they present invitations for the right innovators and stakeholders to collaborate and bring their impact in a more concerted effort, in full recognition of the challenges that may lay ahead.
**22 Innovations are believed to be the top innovations needed to build resilient and prospering food systems in emerging markets over the next five years.**

In the end, our experts believed that the 22 innovations highlighted below should be further investigated, developed, and championed *today* to build resilient and prospering food systems in emerging markets over the next five years.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Awareness &amp; Capacity Building</th>
<th>Business Model</th>
<th>Data</th>
<th>Energy</th>
<th>Life Sciences &amp; Engineering</th>
<th>Packaging &amp; Processing</th>
<th>Storage &amp; Transport</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Behavioral economics for agriculture</td>
<td>Community/kickstarter-supported agriculture</td>
<td>Aflatoxin detection and reduction technologies</td>
<td>2nd generation biofuels from edible biomass</td>
<td>1-MCP technology</td>
<td>Automated sorting and packaging</td>
<td>Adaptable reefer containers</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fee-based extension services</td>
<td>Farm-to-fork virtual marketplace</td>
<td>Blockchain logistics</td>
<td>Battery technologies</td>
<td>Antimicrobial fogging</td>
<td>Cellulose crating and packaging materials</td>
<td>Biosequestration of carbon in crop storage</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Global PHL accounting protocol</td>
<td>Farming as a service</td>
<td>Distribution system mapping</td>
<td>On-farm solar preservation</td>
<td>Biodegradable coatings</td>
<td>Cooperative packaging solutions</td>
<td>Crates adapted for smallholder supply chains</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Legislative support for farmer cooperatives</td>
<td>First-loss capital guarantee for PHL reduction</td>
<td>Early warning systems for plant disease and pests</td>
<td>Smart grids for agriculture</td>
<td>Bio-waste consumer goods</td>
<td>Dehydration for smallholders</td>
<td>Cold chain as a service</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mobile education centers</td>
<td>Multinational corporation anchor buyers</td>
<td>Fiber optic biosensors</td>
<td>Genetically engineered loss-resistant crops</td>
<td>Ethylene absorption technologies</td>
<td>Drone delivery of fresh produce</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Open-source platform for PHL innovations</td>
<td>Private sector innovation and incubation hub</td>
<td>Government satellites for agriculture</td>
<td>Kilobots</td>
<td>Irradiation for smallholder value chains</td>
<td>Evaporative cooling systems</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Public awareness campaigns to reduce PHL</td>
<td>Specialty marketing for PHL-prone crops</td>
<td>Hyperspectral imaging</td>
<td>Microbes for agriculture</td>
<td>Mobile packhouses</td>
<td>Micro-warehousing and shipping</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Improved traceability technologies</td>
<td>Low-cost moisture meters</td>
<td>Nanomaterials for PHL reduction</td>
<td>Modular factories</td>
<td>Micro cold transport</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Millimeter wave sensors</td>
<td>Plant-based protein alternatives</td>
<td>Near-farm mobile processing</td>
<td>Mobile pre-cooling</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Mobile ethylene monitoring</td>
<td>Silk fibroin coating</td>
<td>Smart packaging</td>
<td>Retrofitted cold storage for smallholder value chains</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Open-source supply chain planning</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Uber for produce delivery</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Open-source PHL mapping</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Unmanned first-mile transport</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Post-harvest data to improve access to finance</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Packaging & Processing
- Cooperative packaging solutions
- Modular factories
- Near-farm mobile processing
- Mobile packhouses & pre-cooling
- Dehydration for smallholders

Energy
- Battery technologies
- On-farm solar preservation

Storage & Transport
- Crates adapted for smallholder supply chains
- Micro cold transport
- Adaptable reefer containers
- Cold chain as a service
- Micro-warehousing and shipping
- Evaporative cooling systems

Life Sciences
- Biodegradable coatings
- Microbes for agriculture

Data Collection & Monitoring
- Early warning systems for plant disease and pests
- Improved traceability technologies

Enabling Innovations
- Specialty marketing for PHL-prone crops
- Farm-to-fork virtual marketplace
- First-loss capital guarantee for PHL reduction
- Mobile education centers
- Behavioral economics for agriculture
Ours is not the first report to offer a list of priority innovations for agriculture, food systems, or emerging markets. But while profiling a list of innovations is one step, the crux of the effort is how to decide which ones to prioritize.

With the diverse range of perspectives represented in this study, we thought the opportunity was ripe to harness the power of collective intelligence for yet another objective—to converge upon a set of criteria most pertinent to evaluating the potential of an innovation to reduce post-harvest loss in smallholder value chains, prior to it being invested in or deployed.

In doing so we asked our panel to identify criteria that they use, either implicitly or explicitly, to evaluate innovations. The result was a bountiful 100 recommended metrics for evaluation. While many of these might be what you could call “the usual suspects”, such as affordability and feasibility, others were innovative in their own right. To evaluate each innovations, our experts posed questions such as:

- Can the innovation be easily installed or repaired?
- Is the innovation simple enough to be tried with little investment?
- Can it be deployed at a scale that would accommodate short, high-volume crop harvests in several geographic regions?
- Will the innovation require continuous external support?
- Does it improve smallholder farmers’ stability and resilience?
- Does the innovation present a new opportunity for local and national private sector growth in emerging markets?
- Does it drive development of an integrated, complete supply chain?
- Does the innovation prevent a problem before it occurs, or does it provide a remedy after the fact?
With so many recommendations, it was imperative that the list be narrowed down. We had to evaluate the evaluation criteria. To do so we first asked whether a single criterion was objective, useful, and easy-to-apply. Second, we asked our experts to use their initial recommendations to build a suite of criteria that could serve as an innovation assessment tool of sorts, and be used to fully describe and evaluate the potential of an innovation before it elicits investment. As we narrowed down the criteria from 100 to 8, it became clear that many of the considerations our panel offered were not criteria but something else entirely—systems enablers or barriers—so we decided to consider them separately (defined on pg. 32).
Affordability
A cost that doesn’t impose an unreasonable financial burden on the user. Affordability takes into consideration the financial resources needed to create, promote, and distribute the innovation; the financial resources needed to purchase, participate in, or use the innovation; non-monetary transaction costs; and, the user’s income and competing uses of funds.

Usability
For the innovation to achieve impact, it needs to be adopted and used by the intended value chain actors. Usability therefore takes into account the innovation’s simplicity and ease of use; the degree to which it was designed for end-users; and, the effectiveness of the innovation at accomplishing its intended task.

Smallholder Benefits
Benefits that can accrue to smallholder farmers are primarily noted in the form of income growth, but could take other forms, such as improved access to infrastructure, markets, information, and access to decision-makers, each of which could have spillover effects for rural communities.

Scalability
An innovation’s ability to achieve widespread adoption and positive impact. Unlike earlier stages of an innovation’s lifecycle, an innovation faces new challenges when scaling, such as increased pressure to control costs, confront competition, exploit efficiencies, and manage a more diverse and sophisticated group of investors and stakeholders.

PHL Reduction Potential
The extent to which an innovation prevents or reduces the incidence and volume of post-harvest loss (PHL) in targeted value chain(s).

Sustainability
The extent to which an innovation is accepted, adopted, maintains functionality; and, continues to provide benefits over its projected lifespan and impact time-horizon, irrespective of external support.

Energy Considerations
The extent to which an innovation interacts with energy systems, including required inputs, potential outputs that could be used for other purposes, the recycling of otherwise wasted resources, and the creation of linkages with energy markets.

Environmental Impact
The potential impact—positive or negative—caused to the surrounding environment or natural resource base due to the use of an innovation over its projected lifespan. Typical agricultural impacts on the environment include soil erosion and pollution, land conversion, biodiversity loss, and water use and pollution.
Finally, we recognize that the transformational potential of each innovation is tied to the context in which it's implemented. Thus, we asked our experts to give us a sense of potential applications of the top 22 innovations across geographic regions and product types: fresh fruits and vegetables, roots and tubers, grains (cereals and legumes), and animal proteins.

In the adjacent chart, we indicate whether an innovation has high, medium, or low applicability for each crop type. As noted prior, a vast majority of the innovations have potential in fresh fruit and vegetable (FFV) value chains, as this was our original priority for YieldWise, but we also wanted to explore cross-applicability in other key value chains.

Our panel also believed that, in general, an innovation with potential for FFVs in South Asia, say, would likely have utility in FFV value chains in East Asia and the Pacific, Latin America and the Caribbean, and Sub-Saharan African. That isn’t to say that smallholder contexts are homogenous across geographies, but rather that it is more likely that a solution can be adapted to have applications across multiple geographies.
Innovating the Future of Food Systems

On the following pages you will find profiles of the top 22 investible innovations identified through this Innovation Scan. In these profiles we aim to present a clear and concise picture of the market opportunity, comparative advantage, and systemic enablers and barriers important to each innovation, as well as examples of nascent innovations or innovators filling the space. We also display an estimate of how each innovation performs on our criteria suite, as projected by our expert panel.

Criteria

Each innovation was evaluated against the final 8 criteria. Guidance on how we depicted the estimated score for each criterion follows.

Affordability refers to the per day income range of individuals and institutions that would be able to afford the innovation. For those innovations that require ownership by one and use by another, it is often the case than an institution could afford to own the innovation, while an individual would rent it, participate in, or purchase services provided by the institution.

Usability refers to the amount of training or explanation required for the end-user to effectively utilize the innovation. Some innovations would require prolonged training for a skilled operator of the innovation, while in other instances only a simple explanation or no training would be required.

Scalability refers to the point in the diffusion process at which the innovation could be in 5 years with sufficient support.

Smallholder benefits refers to the percentage of the innovation’s benefits that would likely accrue to smallholder farmers. The colored bar represents the range of responses, while the white dot represents the average across responses.

PHL reduction potential refers to the innovation’s potential to reduce current levels of PHL in crops and areas of the supply chain in which it is applied. The colored bar represents the range of responses, while the white dot represents the average across responses. A 55% average implies that current PHL levels would be reduced by 55%.
Sustainability refers to the length of time that external support will be required before the innovation is accepted, adopted, maintains functionality, and provides benefits.

This innovation requires **1 – 5 years** external support to sustain impact over the long-term.

Energy considerations refers to the type of energy access required to deploy and operate the innovation. Energy types include:

- None required
- Requires grid access
- Requires non-renewables (e.g. fossil fuels)
- Powered by renewables

Environmental impact refers to the innovation’s likely impact, either positive or negative, on the environment. Negative impacts are generally a direct consequence (e.g., widespread use of reefers would result in transport runoff polluting water) while positive impacts are generally a result of a substitution effect (e.g., if microbes replace chemical pesticides this would reduce pollution). Environmental impacts include:

- Soil erosion or pollution
- Water usage or pollution
- Greenhouse gas emissions
- Land conversion & biodiversity

Systems Enablers & Barriers

In addition to criteria, we asked the expert panel about the systems factors that would be essential to the successful application of each innovation. Systems factors include aspects of the enabling environment as listed below. We present this information using a color coded scheme (shown at the bottom of this page) to indicate each factor’s importance, along with a brief explanation.

- Transport Infrastructure such as roads, bridges, ports, etc.
- Energy Infrastructure availed through grid or off-grid sources
- Human Resources of sufficient and trained individuals
- R&D whether from universities, public, or private institutions
- Governance such as regulations, policies, institutions, etc.
- ICT Infrastructure such as cell towers, internet access, etc.
- Private Sector Landscape such as ease of doing business, taxes, business norms, etc.
- Collaboration between major stakeholder groups with an interest in use and impact
- Sociocultural features like values, attitudes, and demography
Farmer cooperatives provide many vital services to smallholder farmers, such as aggregation and financing. But cooperatives do not often provide packaging services, which can be an important value-add to products, as they reduce the potential for crops to incur damage, generally extend shelf life, and command premiums at points of sale. Rather, packaging typically takes place on an industrial scale, with large buyers and processors closer to the point-of-sale reaping the benefits. Models in which goods are packaged closer to the farm would help reduce loss and generate additional income for smallholder farmers.

**Likely Crop Applications in Emerging Markets**

- Fresh Fruits & Vegetables
- Roots & Tubers
- Animal Proteins
WHAT: A cooperative-owned and managed packaging operation that provides simple packaging services, such as sorting, cleaning, wrapping, packing, and labeling, to a community of smallholder farmers. The cooperative would provide the labor, equipment, location, and knowledge to package foods in line with quality requirements and market demand, either for local sale consumption or for export.

EXAMPLES: The Cooperative Central Gaúcha Ltda is a Brazilian dairy cooperative created to integrate multiple agribusiness activities and generate competitive advantages for its member dairy producers. One of the ways it does this is through processing and packaging solutions focused on creating powdered milk products suitable for export markets.

OTHER CONSIDERATIONS: Different pricing structures could cater to different cooperatives, depending on the level of coherence and engagement across a cooperative’s member base. For example, fee-based packaging services could be more appropriate for members who engage selectively or only during a single harvest. Alternatively, membership dues could be a more appropriate model for a cooperative with members who dedicate most of their resources to producing a single product, such as powdered milk.
Building post-harvest infrastructure closer to farms is not a new idea, as farmers have long faced difficulty transporting their goods to distant warehouses and processing plants. But factories face challenges in rural areas: they require a steady, reliable stream of inputs to sustain operations—inputs smallholder farmers may not always be inclined or able to provide, given the nature of harvests. It may be that value chains in emerging and frontier markets would benefit from a different model. By allowing for the assembly and disassembly of small factories, a modular approach may offer a solution that provides lower-cost, on-demand storage, packaging, and processing services to rural areas during periods of need.

**Likely Crop Applications in Emerging Markets**

- Fresh Fruits & Vegetables
- Roots & Tubers
WHAT: A combination of prefabricated components that can be easily assembled into a functional factory. For post-harvest purposes this could include cold storage warehouses, automated packaging, and/or processing services.

EXAMPLES: UK-based InspiraFarms has commercialized several models designed to provide agribusinesses and farmers in Central and East Africa access to on- or near-farm pre-cooling, cold storage, and processing. Compared to traditional warehouses and plants, these modular factories are lower cost and can be assembled anywhere. InspiraFarms factories can be scaled to accommodate larger volumes by adding additional modules; solar panels are available for all models; and financing options are available for those who can pass a credit assessment.

OTHER CONSIDERATIONS: A business model that shares revenue equitably with smallholder producers would help ensure a supply of higher quality inputs. Factories of different sizes, particularly micro-factories, would likely be more affordable and accessible in low-income countries.
Processing transforms raw food ingredients into other forms, and in doing so can be used to extend shelf life, improve quality, and ease transportation challenges, especially in the notoriously thorny "first-mile" in emerging markets. For smallholders, access to processing can mean access to greater income and new markets. However, most processing units are industrial scale, which smallholders have difficulty accessing. The stringent quality, quantity, and timing requirements can be hard for a farmer to meet, especially when he or she has to make the arduous journey to a center of commerce using poorly maintained rural roads. But what if processing was brought to the farmer? Near-farm mobile processing seeks to do just that.

**Near-Farm Mobile Processing**

**Likely Crop Applications in Emerging Markets**

- Fresh Fruits & Vegetables
- Roots & Tubers
A mobile processing unit that travels around rural areas offering fee-based processing services to farmers. Units could provide a range of processing services, including juicing, dehydration, canning, and freezing. The result would be a high-quality value-added product, such as jellies, pickles, juices, flours, or frozen fruits and vegetables, which could be sold in either local or distant markets.

In the US, Kentucky State University pioneered the first mobile processing unit for poultry in 2001. The unit is designed to provide services for slaughtering, cleaning, and packaging chickens, turkey, fish, and rabbits. More recently, in 2015, the University unveiled a mobile unit for processing fruits and vegetables. Equipped with a kitchen, evaporative dryer, and blast freezer, operators are capable of processing a wide range of horticulture crops into jams, jellies, pickles, salsa, and bags of frozen fruits and vegetables.

In 2012 the UK-based company Alvan Blanch created a mobile processing plant to reach African farmers. The mobile unit is equipped with juicing, evaporative cooling, and packaging technologies, helping farmers create juice, jam, or pastes out of their produce.

A successful deployment of near-farm mobile processing units would serve multiple crop types and have the capacity to serve many farmers at once during seasonal gluts.
The way foods are stored can have a significant impact on their shelf life. For perishables, pre-cooling is used to reduce temperature, which helps reduce respiration rates and retain moisture without affecting the quality of the product. But on-farm pre-cooling technology is capital intensive and beyond the reach of most smallholders. This creates a viscous cycle in which smallholders cannot afford to invest in pre-cooling for their products, leading to lower quality and greater levels of loss, which in turn results in less income, thus impairing their ability to invest in pre-cooling storage technology. For smallholders who face challenges getting their produce to markets before spoilage or without damage, mobile aggregation and pre-cooling could provide access to these services without major cash outlays.

**Likely Crop Applications in Emerging Markets**
- Fresh Fruits & Vegetables
- Animal Proteins
WHAT: A mobile unit that acts as a produce aggregator, offering farmers access to pre-cooling, transport, cold storage, and possibly even sale of crops to local or distant markets. Instead of having farmers bring their crops to a storage warehouse, a mobile unit would come to the farm, eliminating transportation barriers for rural, smallholder farmers.

EXAMPLES: In India, Pick ‘N Serve is demonstrating the financial viability of a pre-cooling and packhouse model targeted at bananas. Traveling in a band of 4 trucks, Pick ‘N Serve unloads a small cooling facility on-site, which altogether takes about 1 ½ hours. Once the bananas are cool they are transferred to a reefer storage container nearby, where they are held until being transported to local markets, large retailers, or ports for export.

The US-based company ColdPICK uses a similar model, bringing pre-cooling chambers to farms. There, harvested crops can be loaded into a chamber where it will be cooled and palletized—a form of standardized storage and transport in the US cold chain. Once palletized, the crops can be easily loaded onto trucks for transport to a local warehouse.

OTHER CONSIDERATIONS: Multiple mobile units will likely be needed to service an area at the same time to provide sufficient capacity to cool multiple farmers’ crops during a harvest period. Additionally, this innovation could be seen as an interim solution that could be gradually phased out with the influx of more permanent, larger-scale solutions for farmers.
Drying is the oldest preservation technique used for agricultural products. It proves an excellent way to preserve nutritional content, even during months of storage. Smallholders generally dry their excess or damaged crops, as well as fish and meats, in small batches in the open sun on mats, rock surfaces, or mud roofs, which exposes the product to contaminants and can lead to uneven drying or scorching. But opportunities exist to introduce dehydration technologies and processes that improve the efficiency and the output quality of smallholder farmers’ drying efforts, without the need for large capital outlays.

**Likely Crop Applications in Emerging Markets**

- **Fresh Fruits & Vegetables**
**WHAT:** Dehydration technologies apply a reliable and concentrated heat source to horticulture crops, fish, and meat to reduce moisture content and produce a shelf-stable, nutritious dried product. Dehydration technologies vary from simple to sophisticated; from sun- to solar- to mechanized-drying. Small-scale solar dryers have been adapted to numerous local contexts by local researchers and innovators. Whereas emerging advanced methods, which include modified atmosphere, microwave, and osmotic drying, have not yet been adapted. There is an opportunity to imagine how both solar dryers and more advanced technologies could be adapted to fit the scale, resource requirements, and needs of farmers in low-income countries.

**EXAMPLES:** India-based Project SCD developed the Small-Scale Solar Conduction Dryer (SCD), the world's first solar dryer that uses all modes of heat transfer: conduction, convection, and radiation. It claims the same efficiency as standard solar dryers, but at 50% the cost, and maintains 45% more nutrients. Project SCD’s design was the 2013 Dell Social Innovation Award Grand Prize winner. Project SCD also works to establish the supply chain for dried tomatoes, working with farmer groups, processing companies, and financiers.

**OTHER CONSIDERATIONS:** Moving up the spectrum toward higher-tech solutions means more controlled drying conditions, and, thus, higher quality products, but also higher capital expenditure requirements. Depending on the season and geography, some methods may not be applicable to certain contexts.

---

**ICT**
- **ENERGY**
  - Need for energy infrastructure is dependent on drying method.
  - **PRIVATE SECTOR**
    - There is a need to develop a local or export market for the dried products
  - **R&D**
    - There are many models available but must determine which best fits the local context
  - **TRANSPORTATION**
    - Would be needed if dehydration is taking place at an aggregation center
  - **HUMAN RESOURCES**
    - More complex technologies would benefit from trainers on new methods of dehydration
  - **SOCIOCULTURAL**
    - Requires consumer demand for and acceptance of dehydrated products

**SYSTEM ENABLERS & BARRIERS**

---

**CRITERIA**

- **Affordability**
  - Individuals: <$1.90
  - Institutions: $1.90–$10
  - Sm.: $10–$20
  - Md.: $20–$25
  - Lg.: $25–$100

- **Usability**
  - No adoption
  - Adopted
  - Sustained
  - Replicated
  - Commercialized

- **Scalability**
  - No scalability
  - Sustained
  - Not scalable

- **Smallholder Benefits**
  - 0
  - 21
  - 61
  - 100%

- **PHL Reduction Potential**
  - 0
  - 61
  - 74
  - 100%

- **Sustainability**
  - This innovation requires 1 – 5 years external support to sustain impact over the long-term.

- **Energy**
  - Depending on the method, dehydration could use a variety of energy sources.

- **Environmental Impacts**
  - By reducing on-farm PHL, it would reduce the need for land conversion.
In low-income countries, unreliable energy access is an impediment to a modern agricultural sector. The development of the requisite electric grid would require massive infrastructure investment—likely beyond the immediate reach of many countries. Renewable energy offers one solution, but its production often varies with supply, such as solar generation spiking and plummeting with the presence of sunlight. If renewable energy is going to support energy-intensive operations such as cold storage and processing in remote, off-grid areas, energy will need to be reliably available. Advances in energy storage technologies, such as batteries that store sufficient solar energy to power on-farm cold storage units, could bring on-demand energy access to rural areas.
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**What:** Battery technologies that are able to hold a large enough charge, for long enough, and at low enough cost to support farmers and value chain actors in smallholder food systems. Batteries would need to be connected to a generation technology, such as solar panels, which could be offered as a package deal along with installation.

**Examples:** Tesla is the most well known innovator in battery technologies. The company’s Powerwall, which is intended to be paired with off-grid solar generation, is capable of storing 14 kilowatt hours (kWh) of energy for times when solar energy is not available. By contrast, a typical refrigerator uses about 5 kWh per day, while an energy efficient model can use as little as 1.5 kWh.

Aquion Energy is another company researching next generation battery technology, with a focus on developing sodium-ion batteries to support energy storage for small businesses and homes. Their goal is to develop the cleanest, safest, lowest cost-per-kWh battery technology in the world, and have recently shifted their focus from the US to China and other emerging markets.

**Other Considerations:** The success of this innovation has implications far beyond PHL reduction. However, one key consideration for introducing battery technology to smallholder food systems is finding the right balance between the level of charge needed and the cost at which it can be made available.

---

**System Enablers & Barriers**

**Energy**
- This innovation only works if paired with an energy source.
- **ICT**
  - ICT infrastructure is not needed for the success of this innovation.
- **Private Sector**
  - A strong private sector can support adoption by offering financing packages.
- **R&D**
  - Technical innovations in batteries are needed to bring costs down.
- **Transportation**
  - PHL reduction potential is dependent on a connected supply chain.
- **Governance**
  - Adoption could be supported by policies to develop a network of charging stations.
- **Sociocultural**
  - Sociocultural considerations have not been identified.

**Collaboration**
- Coordination between solar and battery providers can help increase uptake of both.

**Sustainability**
- This innovation requires 1 – 5 years external support to sustain impact over the long-term.

**Energy**
- This innovation would work best being paired with a renewable source of energy.

**Environmental Impacts**
- This innovation could reduce greenhouse gas emissions.
Reducing PHL begins on the farm; the period immediately after harvest is critical for preserving the quality of crops, especially fresh fruits and vegetables. However, many of the best solutions—pre-cooling and cold storage—require energy access, which is severely lacking in rural areas across the world. But perhaps not for long. Advances in solar technologies, such as crystalline silicone and perovskites, have prompted costs to drop precipitously in recent years. As costs continue to fall there exists a significant opportunity to expand the benefits of solar energy to smallholder agricultural systems. Farmers could then adopt better on-farm cooling, storage, and processing practices, thus increasing shelf life, reducing loss, and generating more income.
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ON-FARM SOLAR PRESERVATION
**WHAT:** A crop storage technology, such as pre-cooling, cold storage, or evaporative cooling, that generates the energy it needs through an attached advanced solar technology. The size of the solar panel would depend on the type of storage the technology provides, with more energy intensive storage options, like cold storage, requiring more energy generation capacity.

**EXAMPLES:** Wakati is a stand-alone storage unit that consists of three pieces: a tent, a small solar panel, and a ‘climate unit’ that requires 1 liter of water per week. Together these elements create a microclimate inside the tent that can be used to store 200-1000 kg of fresh fruits and vegetables. While it does not cool the produce, it does help to reduce spoilage rates by maintaining humidity, controlling the release of ripening hormones like ethylene, and sterilizing mold growth. Wakati has recently made their technology open-source to expand the reach of PHL-reducing on-farm storage solutions.

**OTHER CONSIDERATIONS:** A successful application of this innovation would be low-cost and not dependent on infrastructure. While the micro-climate solution developed by Wakati is one approach, other solutions could use solar power to enable on-farm pre-cooling or cold storage.
Great care is needed to ensure that fragile fruits and vegetables aren't damaged during handling and transport. In many emerging markets, the use of traditional storage, such as baskets atop donkeys or bicycles, often leads to smashed produce, especially on rough rural roads. Sturdier Reusable Plastic Crates (RPCs) are a simple solution for improving handling and transport, but adoption has been limited. One reason is because RPC designs are optimized for truck transport, which is not the primary mode of transport for smallholder farmers. Crates better adapted to smallholder value chains could be optimized to fit both trucks and the smaller modes of transport used by farmers, such as bicycles, motorbikes, carts, and pack animals.
A reusable crate that is designed to fit multiple modes of transport, servicing both agribusinesses that rely on trucks and smallholder farmers who often use bicycles, motorbikes, carts, or pack animals to reach an aggregation point or local market. An adaptable design could allow for the crate to be folded or unfolded to conform to different specifications preferred by different users. This would allow for a crate to fit both local and global transportation systems (e.g. standardized crates).

**Examples:** In East Africa, the company Mazzi pioneered a plastic milk jug that is optimized for smallholders. The jugs include a leak-proof lid and are designed to be stacked prior to being loaded into a basket for transport by bicycle.

While Mazzi is focused on first-mile transport storage, the India-based company ColdEx has developed a last-mile solution that performs in a similar vein: a refrigerated box that is optimized for delivery via scooter transport—the most common method of delivery for residents of Indian cities.

**Other Considerations:** This innovation could allow for almost all of its benefits to be generated in, by, and for a local community, including crate design, manufacturing, and sale. This could create new opportunities for individuals employed in traditional industries, such as basket weaving.

**System Enablers & Barriers**

- **Energy:** This innovation does not directly require energy infrastructure.
- **Private Sector:** Crate development could be led by the local private sector.
- **Transportation:** These crates will be adapted to local transport infrastructure.
- **R&D:** Research into new ways to adapt crates could increase their usefulness.
- **Collaboration:** Crates should be designed in partnership with the communities who will use them.
- **Governance:** Government support could increase initial adoption rates.
- **Human Resources:** Locally skilled workers could support design and manufacturing.
- **Sociocultural:** Bias toward the cheapest option might deter adoption if benefits are not demonstrated.
- **ICT:** This innovation does not rely on ICT infrastructure.

**Criteria**

- **Affordability**
  - $<1.90:
  - $1.90–$10:
  - $10+:
  - Sm.:
  - Md.:
  - Lg.:
  - Institutions:

- **Usability**
  - Smallholder:
  - Market:
  - City:

- **Scalability**
  - No:
  - Low:
  - Medium:
  - High:
  - License:

- **Smallholder Benefits**
  - 0:
  - 49:
  - 100%:

- **PHL Reduction Potential**
  - 0:
  - 52:
  - 100%:

**Energy**

- No energy is needed for this innovation to be used by the target population.

**Environmental Impacts**

- The disposal of crates could lead to pollution; if possible crates should be made of bioplastics or biodegradable plastics.
A functioning agricultural cold chain depends on numerous supply chain actors to connect and transfer their perishable products, all while maintaining those products at stable temperatures. In high-income countries, refrigerated truck transport is the most common means of transporting perishable products over land. In low-income countries, cold chain transport often doesn’t serve agricultural value chain actors in the first mile—from the farm to the first aggregation point or local market. Smallholder farmers are often unable to take advantage of cold transport services because of poor rural infrastructure, small loads, and/or price barriers. Micro cold transport could deliver a cold chain solution that is better adapted to smallholder value chains in low-income countries.
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**WHAT:** A reliable, low-energy, durable micro cold transport unit that is adapted for transporting smaller harvests of perishable crops over rough rural terrain, optimizing it for smallholder value chains in low-income countries. Ideally, the micro cold transport unit would be designed to fit common forms of first-mile transportation, such as bicycles and motorbikes, and be powered by renewable energy.

**EXAMPLES:** In Uganda, where bicycles and motorbikes are commonly used to get around, Fruti-Cycle is pioneering a biogas-powered tricycle cold storage unit with a capacity of 300 kg. The combination of biogas power and pedaling keeps products in cold storage for up to 100 km. The unit, with a $50,000 initial cost, may be more appealing to a local entrepreneur, but it is projected to provide a 15.6% return on investment in the second year.

**OTHER CONSIDERATIONS:** While diesel is one option for powering a micro cold transport unit, it would ideally be powered by renewable energy, which could dually charge on-farm cooling as well as the mobile cold transport unit. Another key consideration is the cost to manufacture and distribute the unit, which directly impacts which business model would work best. Depending on price, smallholder farmers, farmer cooperatives, or local entrepreneurs could own the micro cold transport unit.

**SYSTEM ENABLERS & BARRIERS**

**ICT**
- ICT is not needed for this to be successful

**ENERGY**
- A renewable or non-renewable energy source is needed to power the cold transport unit

**PRIVATE SECTOR**
- This innovation is an entirely private sector endeavor

**COLLABORATION**
- Coordination needed to create a network of owners, operators, and users

**R&D**
- Needed to create reliable, low-energy, durable micro cold transport units

**TRANSPORTATION**
- Its comparative advantage is its ability to use current transport infrastructure

**HUMAN RESOURCES**
- Semi-skilled workers needed to operate and repair the cold transport units

**GOVERNANCE**
- No governance concerns for this innovation

**SOCIOCULTURAL**
- This innovation provides a youth employment opportunity

**SUSTAINABILITY**
- This innovation requires No external support to sustain impact over the long-term.

**SCALABILITY**
- This innovation is an entirely private sector endeavor

**AFFORDABILITY**
- This innovation is projected to provide a 15.6% return on investment in the second year

**USABILITY**
- Smallholder Benefits

**ENERGY**
- Environmental impacts of this innovation would depend on the fuel source used.

**PHL REDUCTION POTENTIAL**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>PHL Reduction Potential</th>
<th>0</th>
<th>10</th>
<th>100%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Smallholder Benefits</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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Reefer containers, or refrigerated intermodal transport units, are the most common means of shipping perishable products in the world. Their widespread use contributes to the seamlessness of the global shipping industry. However, the use of reefers for agricultural goods in emerging markets remains spotty. In India, for example, only 4 million metric tonnes (MT) of perishable produce, of ~100 million MT produced annually, is transported via reefer, leading to loss of over 40%. For transporters, the reefers’ large volume is often at odds with the small volumes produced by smallholder farmers or purchased by small retailers and agribusinesses. Distributors will thus make partially full shipments or transport multiple crops in a single container, most stored at suboptimal temperatures.
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WHAT: A compartmentalized reefer container that allows for multiple crop types to be stored and transported in their optimal environments, and brings flexible cold chain services to a wider market. Depending on the load, the compartments may be adapted by size, environmental condition, and other storage requirements.

EXAMPLE: India-based ColdEx has seen commercial success with multi-temperature trucks. The trucks consist of two reefer units and three doors which, together, create a flexible layout that can be arranged into a number of compartment configurations. The driver can then specify temperatures for each area, including refrigerated, frozen, and ambient.

OTHER CONSIDERATIONS: To generate a return on investment for the reefer containers, farmers and aggregators must be able to afford the service, which in turn depends on the amount of usage and how often efficiencies of scale can be achieved in a given geography. Further, roads infrastructure is a requirement for reefer trucks to reach aggregation points by land. Alternatively, in some instances either rail or port infrastructure is needed for reefers to access other markets.

SYSTEM ENABLERS & BARRIERS

- **Energy**: Fueling stations are needed for trucks.
- **ICT**: ICT is not needed for this to be successful.
- **Private Sector**: This service would likely be provided by the private sector.
- **Collaboration**: Coordinating multiple pickups and aggregation would improve efficiency.
- **R&D**: Technology exists but must be adapted to new contexts.
- **Transportation**: Rural roads are essential to reach intended users as are ships, ports, and railroads.
- **Governance**: Local regulations could inhibit or enhance technology uptake.
- **Human Resources**: Skilled operators would be needed to prevent cross-contamination of foods.
- **Sociocultural**: Farmers must trust new companies that serve their market.

CRITERIA

- **Affordability**
  - Individuals: <$1.90
  - Institutions: $10–$100
- **Usability**
- **Scalability**
- **Smallholder Benefits**
- **PHL Reduction Potential**

Sustainability

This innovation requires 1 – 5 years external support to sustain impact over the long-term.

Energy

This innovation requires gas or diesel to transport and refrigerate.

Environmental Impacts

Could increase GHG emissions for transport, water use for cooling, and water and soil pollution via increased runoff.
Keeping crops cool is the most effective way to reduce spoilage for fresh fruits and vegetables. But cold chain, which includes refrigerated transport and storage systems, has proven difficult to implement and scale in many low-income countries. Access to stable energy supply, coupled with the high capital requirements of industrial scale cold storage, have been two of the main barriers. Even where cold chain is available, it is often out of reach for smallholders, as its design more naturally caters to large-volume producers. One way to overcome this accessibility challenge would be to offer cold storage and transport as a service to the vast market of smallholders.

**Cold Chain as a Service**
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WHAT: A cold chain service provider that serves the market of smallholder farmers in low-income countries, offering a range of cold chain services, such as pre-cooling, refrigerated transport, and refrigerated storage. Service providers could use a range of business models, such as subscription or pay-as-you-go, depending on customer demand.

EXAMPLES: Coldhubs is a Nigeria-based company that utilizes solar panels to provide off-grid cold storage to farmers using a pay-as-you-store business model. The farmers are provided with reusable plastic crates into which they can transfer their produce, and are charged a flat daily rate per crate.

In South India, LEAF provides integrated and customized cold chain solutions by combining cold chain as a service with an aggregation business model. They integrate logistics, storage, and processing services to bring goods from farm to market, uniquely utilizing a combination of GPS and logistics software to optimize truck routes for efficiency.

OTHER CONSIDERATIONS: This innovation will be most successful when supported by logistics that improve the financial return of the business model, and will achieve the greatest impact when it is able to cater to a variety of volumes, particularly smaller volumes, and made affordable for smallholders. Additionally, service providers must consider the reliability and availability of electricity or renewable energy to power cold chain technologies available in specific contexts.

ICT can be used to communicate with farmers and provide on-demand cold chain services.

Cold chain requires energy which could come from a variety of sources.

Collaboration Partnership with local authorities would help to improve usage.

Transportation Being able to bring the service to farmers is critical for impact.

Governance Governments could support adoption by helping to create a favorable business environment.

Human Resources The service provider would need to be a skilled worker.

Sociocultural This innovation would need to fit with local cultural norms around food storage.

Energy

This innovation requires grid access or transportable non-renewable or renewable energy sources.

Environmental Impacts

This innovation could increase water use and greenhouse gas emissions.
If one were to name the seven wonders of globalization, the modern global shipping and logistics industry might be among them. Facilitating the movement of vast quantities of goods at record speeds, it plays a key role in linking producers to markets. But it is largely inaccessible to actors in smallholder value chains, who face infrastructure and financial constraints that prohibit access to warehousing services. Smallholders also face additional entry-barriers, like volume, quality, and standardization requirements. Right-sizing storage and shipping options for smallholder value chains represents a significant opportunity for both agribusinesses and farmers.

Likely Crop Applications in Emerging Markets
- Fresh Fruits & Vegetables
- Grains
- Roots & Tubers
- Animal Proteins
**WHAT:** Micro-scale storage and shipping options made available to smallholders at a reasonable cost. By engaging smallholder farmers directly, micro-warehousing and shipping providers would also provide farmers with the logistics solutions needed to help smallholders access larger-scale processors, distributors, and retailers.

**EXAMPLES:** In India, Ergos works with farmers at the village level to build micro-warehouses for grain storage. They charge farmers a manageable USD 0.10-0.15 per 100 kg of grain, per month of storage.

Another Indian company, PLUSS, designed a shipping solution for pharmaceuticals that can be assembled in 5 minutes and provides temperature-controlled storage for up to 96 hours. These types of solutions could be adapted to agricultural supply chains, which would improve storage and shipping options for smallholder farmers.

**OTHER CONSIDERATIONS:** Designs will need to be made specifically for different regions. Irrespective of geography, poorly designed solutions could lead to cross-contamination and foodborne illnesses for end-consumers.

---

**ICT**
ICT can be used to communicate shipping information with farmers

**Private Sector**
Needs supporting market conditions and infrastructure to be financially viable

**R&D**
R&D would help to right-size the storage and shipping solutions

**Transportation**
Shipping also requires inland transport infrastructure

**Energy**
Some variations of this innovation require energy infrastructure

**Collaboration**
Needs a plan or strategy to engage farmer groups

**Governance**
Governments could incentivize actors in the shipping industry

**Human Resources**
Skilled operators of storage units and vehicles are required

**Sociocultural**
This innovation would need to fit cultural norms around food storage

**ICT**
This innovation requires grid access, or non-renewable or renewable energy sources.

**Energy**
This innovation could increase greenhouse gas emissions.

---

**Storage & Transport**

**Affordability**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Individuals</th>
<th>Institutions</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>&lt;$1.90</td>
<td>$10+</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Usability**

Not Applicable

**Scalability**

Not Applicable

**Smallholder Benefits**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>PHL Reduction Potential</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Sustainability**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sustainability</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>This innovation requires 1 ~ 5 years external support to sustain impact over the long-term.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Inadequate access to energy resources is a major barrier for farmers adopting better storage practices. While expanding energy access is imperative for opening up access to new technologies and process for smallholder farmers, it is not a given that, of the options to use their limited energy resources on, a farmer would prioritize crop storage. It follows then, that a variety of solutions, including some that do not require energy, are needed to fully address the post harvest loss challenge. This is where evaporative cooling offers a compelling solution. By utilizing the same principles as perspiration, evaporative cooling is capable of storing crops at lower-temperatures, without electricity, and at a lower-cost.
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**WHAT:** Crop storage solutions that use the evaporation of water to cool the storage container and preserve crops. Evaporation occurs in the container when air, which is not saturated with water vapor, passes over a wet surface, helping to remove heat in the form of energy transfer.

**EXAMPLES:** Evaptainer emerged from a research project at the Massachusetts Institute of Technology (MIT) that challenged students to create something that could help improve the lives of 1 billion people. The students’ technology was the EV-8 — a 60 liter cooling container that activates a 15-20 °C cooling effect when the user fills the internal reservoir with water.

In India, the Zero Energy Cooling Chamber (ZECC) has been used to store tomatoes, potatoes, leafy greens, bananas, mushrooms, and other crops with great success by individual farmers. The chamber can be made of local materials—bricks and sand—for roughly USD 60. It requires water twice a day to produce a cooling effect of 10-15 °C.

**OTHER CONSIDERATIONS:** An application of this innovation would be operational in climates with varying levels of humidity, bring benefits directly to farmers, and reduce the water input requirements to the maximum extent possible.

**SYSTEM ENABLERS & BARRIERS**

**Energy**
- This innovation does not require energy

**Private Sector**
- This innovation can be successful independent of the private sector

**Collaboration**
- The more the solutions are developed with end-users the higher potential uptake

**Transportation**
- Ultimate PHL reduction potential depends on what happens after on-farm storage

**Governance**
- Water regulations and governance would be useful to improve availability

**R&D**
- Innovation adoption could be supported by market research to target priority areas/users

**Sociocultural**
- This innovation would likely fit cultural norms around food storage

**Human Resources**
- Larger systems would require some training to build/use

**Sustainability**
- This innovation require as little as No external support, and as much as 1 – 5 years to sustain impact over the long-term.

**Energy**
- This innovation does not require electricity.

**Environmental Impacts**
- This innovation could reduce soil pollution, greenhouse gas emissions, and land conversion and associated losses to biodiversity.
While cold storage and transport is currently acknowledged as the gold standard for preserving fresh fruits and vegetables, it may be that other approaches are more suitable in contexts where introducing cold chain is far from feasible. Coatings are an affordable technology that have been used for a long time in horticultural supply chains to reduce the rate of decomposition and extend shelf life. If these technologies can be made available to smallholders, they could present a manageable and low-cost way to reduce PHL.
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**WHAT:** A water-, oil-, or wax-based solution applied to the surface of crops that effectively slows the rate of decomposition and maintains nutritional integrity.

**EXAMPLES:** Apeel Sciences is a California-based company that specializes in developing crop protecting technologies using agricultural by-products and waste. Their Edipeel technology is an invisible, tasteless, edible, and all-natural coating that can be applied to the surface of fresh fruits and vegetables to extend shelf life. Apeel offers packaged formulas specific to a particular crop, including bananas, tomatoes, and mangoes.

Pace International has developed a variety of post-harvest solutions, such as BioSpectra 100 SC, a natural fungicide used to deter and slow the rate of growth of a broad spectrum of post-harvest diseases common in citrus fruits.

**OTHER CONSIDERATIONS:** While coatings are generally simple to use, successful applications must be accompanied by good food safety and hygiene practices, otherwise the risk of cross contamination is very high, with one product being able to infect the whole load. As many coatings are applied using a water-based solution, this requires clean, potable water for both washing and application.

**SYSTEM ENABLERS & BARRIERS**

**Sustainability**
This innovation requires 1 – 5 years external support to sustain impact over the long-term.

**Energy**
This innovation would not require energy.

**Environmental Impacts**
Water use would increase due to the need to wash produce, and further if the solution were water-based.
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With every new piece of research into microbes (a catch-all term for any micro-organism, such as bacteria, fungi, and amoebas), science reveals more about how these unseen organisms shape our world—from the inside of our bodies to the surface of our foods. If we can harness the microbiome’s power, the possibilities are limitless; if there is another Green Revolution for agriculture, microbes will be a part of it. Research already shows how microbes can be used to increase the uptake of nutrients from soil to stimulate growth, thus reducing the need for chemical fertilizers. As research continues, post-harvest applications such as easy-to-apply, water-soluble microbial solutions, could be developed to support food safety and extend shelf life across the supply chain.

**Microbes for Agriculture**

---

**Overview**

With every new piece of research into microbes (a catch-all term for any micro-organism, such as bacteria, fungi, and amoebas), science reveals more about how these unseen organisms shape our world—from the inside of our bodies to the surface of our foods. If we can harness the microbiome’s power, the possibilities are limitless; if there is another Green Revolution for agriculture, microbes will be a part of it. Research already shows how microbes can be used to increase the uptake of nutrients from soil to stimulate growth, thus reducing the need for chemical fertilizers. As research continues, post-harvest applications such as easy-to-apply, water-soluble microbial solutions, could be developed to support food safety and extend shelf life across the supply chain.
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An application of micro-organisms to crops with the purpose of reducing PHL. While the goal of the application is to reduce PHL, the microbes could be distributed much earlier in pre-harvest processes, including on-seed, to later prevent spoilage and extend shelf life from farm to market.

Examples: In the last 5 years, entrepreneurs have developed numerous microbial applications for pre-harvest agriculture. US-based Marrone Bio Innovations, for example, sells microbial insecticides and biofungicides, the latter of which work with a plant’s natural defense system to help suppress disease pathogens. Other companies, such as NewLeaf Symbiotics and Indigo Agriculture, are working to identify microbes that act like fertilizers to stimulate plant growth. Still other companies, like Monsanto, Bayer, and DuPont are testing thousands of bacteria, isolated from soil from around the world, to find additional, new uses in agriculture. People are also finding post-harvest applications for microbes. VRM Biologik is a Singapore-based company experimenting with how to use microbes to turn unused food into reusable materials that then can be reapplied to crops to promote growth. In the future, microbial sprays and coatings could be used for other post-harvest applications as well.

Other Considerations: Understanding the microbiome is key to understanding how spoilage and disease can be prevented, controlled, and managed. There is a flurry of empirical research currently being conducted on agricultural applications for microbes. For microbes to impact food systems, the results of this research will need to be disseminated, discussed, and accepted by producers, industry actors, and regulators.

System Enablers & Barriers

Energy
- Not needed for this innovation

Private Sector
- Could support distribution and sales of product

Transportation
- Improved distribution channels would be helpful

R&D
- Research and field trials are needed

Governance
- Requires science-based regulatory policies to properly weigh risks and benefits

Sociocultural
- Consumer acceptance is likely to become an issue

Human Resources
- This innovation can be adopted and adapted to local context by local entrepreneurs

ICT
- Not needed for this innovation

Collaboration
- Technology uptake enhanced by coordination between developers and farmers

Energy
- This innovation would not require energy.

Environmental Impacts
- Possible reductions in soil pollution and water-use due to beneficial effects of microbes on soil fertility.

Criteria

Affordability
- Individuals
  - <$1.00
  - $1.00–$10.00
  - $10.00+
- Institutions
  - Sm.
  - Md.
  - Lg.

Usability
- No impact
- High
- Medium
- Low

Scalability
- No impact
- High
- Medium
- Low

Smallholder Benefits
- 0%
- 100%

PHL Reduction Potential
- 0%
- 100%

Sustainability
- This innovation requires 1 – 5 years external support to sustain impact over the long-term.
The threat of plant disease and pests does not end once a crop is harvested—they can cause loss up until the point of sale. Early Warning Systems, or EWS, have been used for decades to detect and sound a preventative alarm against potential and emerging threats, such as plant disease, pests, drought, and other climate shocks. To do so, EWS aggregate and analyze data from a wide range of sources, such as producer reports, sensor data, and satellite imagery. EWS have not been applied to post-harvest supply chains, which currently rely primarily on in-person data collection and reporting. By employing better data collection and analysis innovations throughout the supply chain, EWS could be used to sound the alarm on PHL at any stage.
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**WHAT:** A collaborative effort to utilize advancements in data collection and analysis to identify and communicate real-time information on plant disease and pest outbreaks that might affect post-harvest supply chains in emerging markets. EWS could be championed by governments, academic or research institutions, or through a public-private-partnership model in conjunction with industry.

**EXAMPLES:** The Food and Agricultural Organization of the United Nations (FAO) created the Global Information and Early Warning System on Food and Agriculture (GIEWS) in the early 1970s to monitor overall food security in every country. Next generation EWS could employ satellites, hyperspectral imaging, sensors, cloud computing, and other digital innovations to provide real-time data on post-harvest plant disease and pest outbreaks. Hyperspectral imaging, for example, has been used to detect diseased animal proteins in livestock feeds as a way of reducing mad-cow disease. Alternatively, sensor technology is being used in the US and Canada to detect airborne fungal and bacterial spores coming all the way from Europe.

**OTHER CONSIDERATIONS:** Successful applications of this innovation could utilize mobile phone technology to gather and transmit data. Information should be shared in a way that emphasizes ease of use and enables actionable responses by farmers. Focusing on a few specific crops rather than trying to collect information on a wide range would likely lead to better results in the near-term.
Demand for the traceability of agricultural products has risen in recent years. Increased consumer awareness in high-income countries, along with the spread of foodborne pathogens, have helped to drive demand up. But smallholder supply chains often lack transparency in sourcing. As a crop moves through the supply chain it becomes more and more difficult to trace it backward. Another unintended consequence of this is the removal of accountability from the system, which contributes to PHL. Introducing improved traceability technologies would help supply chain actors keep each other accountable by being able to pinpoint where losses are occurring. This can also incentivize actors to reduce inefficiencies in their operations.

**Likely Crop Applications in Emerging Markets**

- Fresh Fruits & Vegetables
- Grains
- Roots & Tubers
- Animal Proteins
A combination of tracking technologies and an easy-to-use online or mobile interface that can be used to trace product movement across the supply chain.

**Examples:** Virtual City is a Kenyan company with operations throughout East Africa. Their traceability software enables real-time tracking and monitoring at various stages of agricultural supply chains. This also includes the value-addition of various actors, so that users can see the benefits of working with their business partners.

A more traditional shipping and tracking company, FedEx, has designed Senseaware, which is an Internet of Things (IoT) traceability platform. It uses sensor technology to feed into an online platform, which can be used as a smartphone app. With this technology users can track the status of their shipments in real time.

**Other Considerations:** A variety of technologies are available to support this innovation, including various sensors, QR Codes, mobile technologies, and more. When designing solutions in this area, careful consideration should be given to the local context in which it will be applied, including the current tracking practices.
Reducing PHL is not a panacea for helping smallholders improve their livelihoods. In fact, a significant reduction in PHL could result in supply-side surges that reduce the price farmers receive for their products. So, if PHL is going to be reduced and simultaneously help smallholders improve their economic outlook, it follows that this should be supported by developing new markets able to absorb the increased volume of goods. One option for doing so is to play up a region’s agricultural conditions and the qualities this imparts on a crop. As incomes continue to rise across most of the world, demand for specialty goods will likely rise with it. Producers in these emerging economies are primed to take advantage of this shift.

Likely Crop Applications in Emerging Markets

• Fresh Fruits & Vegetables
• Roots & Tubers
• Animal Proteins
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**WHAT:** A campaign to increase global demand for a product grown in a specific country or region. A successful specialty marketing campaign could lead to increased international demand as well as shaping the spending habits of burgeoning regional economies with increasing disposable income.

**EXAMPLE:** Ancient grains are experiencing a renaissance in the global supply chain, thanks to specialty marketing campaigns in North America and Europe for quinoa from Peru. Today, other grains like Teff from Ethiopia and Amaranth from across sub-Saharan Africa are following suit. A similar trajectory occurred for the South American acai berry and is occurring for products from the moringa oleifera tree, native to Africa and South Asia. While these products weren’t promoted to specifically reduce PHL, their successful specialty marketing campaigns could offer lessons—both positive and negative—for actors seeking to increase international demand (or even national) for regional products with high levels of PHL in their supply chains.

**OTHER CONSIDERATIONS:** A strong marketing campaign may also introduce the added benefit of encouraging younger generations to consider careers in farming and agriculture as opposed to traveling to urban areas in search for work.
Smallholders often lose out in the convoluted supply chains of modern food systems and end up receiving only a small portion of the final price paid for their produce. However, using recent advancements in mobile technology, producers and purchasers can connect directly. This model is already helping farmers in high-income countries increase their profits, as consumer preferences have shifted in favor of locally-sourced foods. There also exists a massive opportunity to bring these sorts of models to emerging markets, where populations are burgeoning and incomes are rising. But rather than the “eat local” movement, the driving force is the fragmented, inefficient nature of supply chains, which suffer from the unnecessary number of players involved.
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**Examples:** M-Farm, a Kenyan company started to help farmers get their produce to market for a fair price, is being used to connect buyers and sellers of produce through an online platform. When a farmer’s produce is ready, producers can upload their product to M-Farm’s online marketplace, along with a brief description or a picture. Buyers can then use the marketplace to filter through different products to find what they need. In addition to these services, M-Farm provides information on past price trends to help farmers get a fair price at the best time to sell.

The India-based company Truce offers an app that connects farmers, aggregators, wholesalers, and processors interested in stable business and reliable business partners. Farmers and aggregators can use the app to offer deals on their produce; wholesalers and processors can use it to search for suppliers, and both can benefit from the logistical support Truce provides to ensure orders are delivered.

**Other Considerations:** Aggregation can help increase supply chain efficiencies and farmer profits. Though dubbed farm-to-fork, this innovation does not necessarily have to go all the way to fork, but instead to a retail point.

**Platform development is a critical first step**
It is necessary to align a number of partners such as farmers, aggregators, and consumers.

A strong business environment is a prerequisite for this innovation to be in demand.

Transport infrastructure is needed to deliver goods.

Energy is needed to charge a mobile device or computer.

This innovation requires 5 or more YEARS external support to sustain impact over the long-term.

Requires electricity to charge mobile phones and/or computers, but this could come from renewable sources.

This innovation could help reduce GHG emissions by supporting more efficient transport routes.

**ICT**
This innovation requires internet connectivity.

**System Enablers & Barriers**

**Energy**
Energy is needed to charge a mobile device or computer.

**Private Sector**
A strong business environment is a prerequisite for this innovation to be in demand.

**Collaboration**
It is necessary to align a number of partners such as farmers, aggregators, and consumers.

**Transportation**
Transport infrastructure is needed to deliver goods.

**R&D**
Platform development is a critical first step.

**Governance**
A supporting regulatory environment for businesses is needed.

**Human Resources**
Entrepreneurs and skilled workers are needed to facilitate connections.

**Sociocultural**
Farmers must be willing to engage directly with local or international consumers.

**Criteria**

**Affordability**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Individuals</th>
<th>Institutions</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>&lt;$1.00</td>
<td>&lt;10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$1.00–$10</td>
<td>Sm.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&gt;$10</td>
<td>Md.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Lg.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Usability**

- Easy
- Medium
- Hard
- Impossible

**Scalability**

- No
- A little
- Some
- A lot
- Lot

**Smallholder Benefits**

0 100%

**PHL Reduction Potential**

0 100%

**Sustainability**

This innovation requires 5 or more YEARS external support to sustain impact over the long-term.

**Energy**

Requires electricity to charge mobile phones and/or computers, but this could come from renewable sources.

**Environmental Impacts**

This innovation could help reduce GHG emissions by supporting more efficient transport routes.
Adopting any new innovation into business operations is an inherently risky endeavor. This is even more the case for smallholder farmers who, by definition, have limited resources. If smallholders invest in a new technology or process that does not result in improved economic returns and pay itself off, that can have significant implications on their lives and livelihoods. With risk aversion comes the propensity to trust only those innovations with tangible benefits one can see. This is a challenge with farmer-oriented PHL solutions, the benefits of which might not show themselves until a crop is at the market. A first loss guarantee takes aim at this very problem.

Likely Crop Applications in Emerging Markets

- Fresh Fruits & Vegetables
- Grains
- Roots & Tubers
- Animal Proteins
A financial mechanism used to catalyze investment in pursuit of social and environmental goals. Guarantees are made by a “Provider” who agrees to bear an agreed-upon amount of financial loss, should it occur. In doing so, the Provider de-risks the “Recipient”, thus improving their risk-return profile and ability to invest their own resources, or pursue additional finance from more risk-averse investors.

The Global Impact Investment Network, GIIN, identifies two purposes for catalytic first loss capital—market development, and leverage-for-impact. The latter could look similar to a crop insurance safety-net provided by a philanthropic organization. For example, if a farmer tests a new technology, such as a cold storage solution, and power is lost for a week due to inclement weather, the guarantee would cover the lost returns on the crops that spoiled. Leverage-for-impact is most applicable in scenarios where the social and/or environmental goals are not close to being realized at the same time as commercial viability.

By contrast, market development is when seasoned investors who believe some aspect of an emerging or frontier market, one with tangible social or environmental benefits, is on the brink of becoming commercially viable. In these instances their guarantee is used to draw other investors in so they can see first-hand the viability of the market in question. Once they experience a return on their investment, it follows that they will be inclined to adjust their risk-return profiles for the market in question and re-invest.

This innovation would require 5 or more years external support to sustain impact over the long-term.

Energy
No energy is needed for this innovation.

Environmental Impacts
This innovation has no clear positive or negative environmental impacts.
Agricultural Extension is a staple of functioning food systems. Even in high-income countries, farmers benefit from educational services that help them keep up-to-date on trends and best practices emerging from the research community. One of the challenges bringing extension services to smallholders in low-income regions of the world is infrastructure: rough terrain, inclement weather, and limited internet access prevent widespread knowledge dissemination. Mobile healthcare is seen as instrumental for advancing access to healthcare in rural areas globally. Initial investments in mobile agricultural centers could reduce costs, enhance and foster new partnerships, and improve agricultural practices for millions of smallholder farmers.
WHAT: An education center that can be moved, assembled, and disassembled so that it can travel and bring extension services to farmers in disparate areas.

EXAMPLES: Mashambas is an idea for an East African movable education center providing agricultural extension and training, as well as cheap fertilizers and new technologies to rural smallholder farmers. The center is designed to be made from simple modular units making it easy to construct, deconstruct, and transport the various components. If employed by government or private extension workers, modular education centers could serve as a semi-permanent community resource, but with the possibility to serve a new community in time.

The Alliance for a Green Revolution in Africa (AGRA) has a goal to train 100,000 Tanzanian farmers in post-harvest management techniques for 2017. In order to reach regions of the country where farmers lack the capacity to travel to major cities for support, AGRA has rolled out a regional training program that brings new techniques and products to the farmer through its staff and implementing partners. These mobile units work to harmonize farmer education and pre-emptively address new challenges while venturing to even the most rural areas.

OTHER CONSIDERATIONS: This innovation could be brought directly to farmers, but fees would need to match the market value and demonstrated benefits. Another option would be to sell the units to governments who then provide extension services.
Traditional economics stems from one simple premise — that humans are rational beings trying to maximize their utility. However, psychological, sociological, and anthropological evidence suggests that this is actually not the case. By contrast, behavioral economics starts from the premise that there are bounds to the rational capacity of any given agent. In other words we, as humans, are a complex stew of thoughts, emotions, habits, biases, and assumptions that shape our behavior. From this starting point, the field of behavioral economics endeavors to understand the context in which economic agents act, and it thus becomes possible to incentivize beneficial behaviors that could lead to the reduction of PHL.

**Likely Crop Applications in Emerging Markets**

- Fresh Fruits & Vegetables
- Grains
- Roots & Tubers
- Animal Proteins
**WHAT:** The application of behavioral economics (BE) principles, tools, and approaches to incentivize actors in smallholder value chains to engage in practices that ultimately reduce PHL. BE principles explain how people make choices, view risks and rewards, react to changing conditions, form habits, plan for the future, interact with others, and even remember things that happened in the past—all of which can be applied to incentivize PHL reduction.

**EXAMPLES:** Today, BE tools are widely applied across sectors. For example, Uber uses goal-oriented text messages to motivate its drivers to continue working. France introduced an opt-out organ donation policy to increase organ donations. And the Grameen Foundation uses personalized commitments to increase saving in low-income countries.

In the food and agriculture sector, the Busara Center in East Africa uses BE interventions to increase uptake of technologies and inputs. For example, they saw a 70% increase in fertilizer uptake through home-delivery instead of traditional outside-purchasing. Similarly, the Agricultural Technology Adoption Initiative (ATAI) is testing whether extension services aimed at agro-dealers are more effective in encouraging adoption of a flood-tolerant rice variety in India compared to extension services targeted toward farmers.

**OTHER CONSIDERATIONS:** BE tools should not be used to change cultural values, but instead to help those who want to implement improvements in their lives and business operations. Practitioners should also be cognizant of the balance between studying the effectiveness of and implementing BE approaches more widely.

---

**SYSTEM ENABLERS & BARRIERS**

**ENERGY**
There is no clear connection to energy infrastructure for this innovation.

**ICT**
ICT could be one method of communicating with farmers in a BE intervention.

**PRIVATE SECTOR**
BE interventions are likely to be driven by a strong political or commercial interest.

**COLLABORATION**
A PPP approach would be a beneficial model for impactful change.

**R&D**
Research is needed to better understand BE models for engaging smallholders.

**TRANSPORTATION**
Would be needed for interventions that span a large geography.

**HUMAN RESOURCES**
Requires trained practitioners to design and deliver programs.

**GOVERNANCE**
Likely to be driven by a strong political or commercial interest.

**SOCIOCULTURAL**
Needs to be designed with farmers in mind or risk being perceived as manipulative.

---

**CRITERIA**

**Affordability**
- Individuals: <$1.90
- Institutions: $10+$1.90

**Usability**
- No: 0
- Low: 25%
- Medium: 50%
- High: 75%
- Full: 100%

**Scalability**
- No: 0
- Low: 25%
- Medium: 50%
- High: 75%
- Full: 100%

**Smallholder Benefits**
- No: 0
- Low: 25%
- Medium: 50%
- High: 75%
- Full: 100%

**PHL Reduction Potential**
- No: 0
- Low: 25%
- Medium: 50%
- High: 75%
- Full: 100%

**Sustainability**
This innovation requires 5 or more years of external support to sustain impact over the long-term.

**Energy**
No energy is needed for this innovation.

**Environmental Impacts**
This innovation has no clear environmental benefits or impacts.
While the previous 22 innovations offer an exciting picture of how we might improve global food systems and reduce rates of post-harvest loss (PHL) in the short-term, the systems barriers and enablers to innovation are presumed to be fixed in their present state. But the future isn’t fixed and systems change in profound ways. From climate change and shifting consumer preferences to globalization and the changing winds of trade relations, macro and micro trends are shaping and reshaping the systems around us. With each passing moment we find ourselves in a different world.

In their report *Shaping the Future of Global Food Systems: A Scenarios Analysis*, the World Economic Forum (WEF) offers four scenarios for how global agricultural systems could look in 2030, based on signals of change that we can identify today. These scenarios of the future are provocative. But they don’t shine a light on the shifts occurring in emerging markets—locations with large agricultural histories and untapped potential, and where new markets may open the door to new partnerships. Nor do they unpack the powerful role that innovation can play to shape food systems for the better. This is where our endeavor began.

Looking across the WEF scenarios, we asked:

- How would these scenarios affect food systems in emerging markets in particular? What are the global forces that exert the most influence on emerging market food systems?
- What mounting global forces most urgently demand transformational innovation?
- What emerging innovations are best poised to meaningfully contribute to the realization of a future that we desire?
To answer these questions, we enlisted the help of a panel of global experts through the Delphi process outlined on pg. 17-19. We catapulted our experts to 2030-2035 to identify the harbingers of change for food systems in emerging markets. As a starting point for this thought experiment, we asked them to offer their interpretations of the WEF scenarios through this lens. WEF’s four scenarios are summarized below.

**Scenario 1: Survival of the Richest**
This is a world in which governments have turned inward, closing their nations off to the rest of the world. Simultaneously, consumption and production patterns have prioritized short-term profits over longer-term concerns, like climate change and resource degradation. The result is a toxic mix where the rich get richer and the poor are left on their own.

**Scenario 2: Unchecked Consumption**
This future scenario, judged by our experts to be the most likely of all four, is best characterized by intensified global trade and economic growth at any cost. While the reduction of trade barriers means lower prices for consumers, it also masks the true costs of our food, in terms of the environmental price tag for its production, and makes it more difficult for small- and medium-sized businesses to compete against an agro-industry with significantly lower operating costs. The combined effects of lower prices for businesses and consumers alike inhibits action on complex multi-stakeholder challenges like the Sustainable Development Goals.

Scenario 3: Open-Source Sustainability

In this scenario, open data has increased connectivity and helped to usher in an era of innovation in the service of improved resource efficiency. The private sector, recognizing the challenges posed by global environmental change, have prioritized sustainability and resilience, diversifying their production sources. However, rural livelihoods have been compromised by the rapidity of change, and aging farmers struggle to keep pace.

Scenario 4: Local is the New Global

This future, deemed least likely by our panel, represents a scenario in which nations and regions have been disconnected from the global marketplace and instead rely on self-sufficient food systems. For countries rich in natural capital, this means an abundant supply of locally grown, seasonal crop varieties, albeit at a higher cost to consumers. For those countries lacking in arable land or sufficient water, their populations face increased malnutrition and societal unrest. In short, inequality has grown between countries and within them.

Armed with these portrayals, our expert panel set out to unearth the driving forces that would usher in such futures, looking in particular to unearth those forces that would render such changes to food systems in emerging markets. The most prominent forces identified are listed below.
The list of global forces on the previous page portend significant trends that are shaping our world, and will shape food systems in emerging markets over the next twenty years. While the way in which these forces manifest are specific to the scenario within which they exist, it is the confluence of these forces that can point us toward areas ripe for research, policy-making, investment, private sector action, and improved decision making by consumers. These are the areas that beckon for transformational innovation to alter the future of food systems.

With these forces in hand, we tasked our panel of experts to imagine how innovation could alter the course of the future offered in the four WEF scenarios. In some instances, the panel described specific applications for emerging innovations that could fundamentally rewrite the way our food systems work. Quantum computing, blockchain, Internet for All, and synthetic biology are but a few of the innovations they indicated as promising in the pursuit of such transformation. Still, given the arduous nature of this thought experiment, at times our panel found it difficult to speak to exactly which innovation might shape the future and how. Instead they offered ideas about the opportunities that exist for transformational innovation to reshape food systems altogether.

What you will find on the following pages is a synthesis of what was elicited through three rounds of engagement with our experts. At the end of those rounds we found ourselves at the intersection of the trends that are shaping our world, the emerging innovations that can rewrite the future of food systems, and a white space for new ideas. We view this intersection as an Invitation for Transformational Innovation. In this section we issue 10 such invitations, each of which offers a mid-level abstraction meant to capture the imagination of investors, policymakers, innovators, researchers, and you to inspire bold efforts to reshape our food systems. Because the future is not fixed and the future of food systems in 2035 will not be one of the four scenarios offered by WEF—it will be a fifth, one that we build together.
1. How might we engineer production systems impervious to crop failure and spoilage?

2. How might we reimagine the relationship between consumers and producers?

3. How might we create closed-loop agricultural systems?

4. How might we assure that all food everywhere is priced to account for its true cost?

5. How might we create farm-free foods?

6. How might we open, share, and use data across the supply chain to eliminate information asymmetries?

7. How might we transform conventional agriculture into regenerative agriculture?

8. How might we scale hyper-adaptive, localized polyculture?

9. How might we build and scale a model of self-sufficient city-based agriculture?

10. How might we reposition rural areas as places of opportunity?
Imagine a future in which every seed planted and every harvested fruit, grain, root, or tuber is edible no matter what happens to it on the way from field to fork. What would this mean for a farmer, who has to make decisions about how to utilize limited resources; or a mother, who has to make decisions about what to feed her children?

This would be a future food system absent many existing tradeoffs. The aforementioned farmer would not have to worry whether her harvested maize would be ravaged by pests in storage. The aforementioned mother would not have to worry about whether the tomatoes and potatoes she purchased to make stew for her family would stay fresh long enough to provide her kids with the nutrition they need to be healthy. This is a vision for a future that calls for transformational innovation.

**Invitation to Innovate:**

**How might we engineer production systems impervious to crop failure and spoilage?**
Every year massive amounts of crops are destroyed by hazards like drought, floods, and pests; go to waste when consumers let them spoil; or are lost in the long journey from farm to market. Climate change will exacerbate these and other trends. The declining reliability of fresh water resources, more extreme heat waves, and longer, more intense droughts will make it more difficult to grow food, leading to greater volumes of failure. Hotter mean temperatures will increase the geographic footprint of many pests that can damage crops both on field and in storage. For places lacking proper storage facilities this could mean an increase to the proportion of crops lost post-harvest. What would this mean for a world that needs to feed 8.5 billion people by 2030?

If we go back to that future we imagined and think about the innovations that could help us achieve it, we have to start with information and analysis. Quantum computing could help us design away some of the traditional challenges that agriculture production faces by helping us model natural processes, which could be used to pinpoint the exact areas where intervention is needed to prevent crop failure or spoilage. Information from sensors and other data gathering technologies could feed accurate data into the quantum models, but knowledge is only so powerful on its own. Other technologies are needed to act upon this information. Nanobots, for instance, could be used to guard crops from pests, acting as a swarm of sentries ready to counterstrike against enemies like crop diseases and pests, and relying on smart sensors to provide the signal to act. Meanwhile synthetic biology and genetic engineering could be used to build resistance to pests, heat, drought, or flooding directly into the genetic makeup of entirely new crop varieties.
In a world of increasing technological advancement and declining human-to-human connections, what would it mean for people to reconnect with their food and those who produce it? What would it mean for you, when you purchase your favorite fruit or vegetable, to know exactly where it came from and who your purchase supported? What would it mean for a farmer to know that her hard work helped nourish a family of four 1,000 miles away? This would be a food system that represents empathy and accountability; a food system where actions matter, not just for individuals, but for dozens of people suddenly more closely connected. If this is a world we want to create, transformational innovation is needed.

**THE CASE FOR TRANSFORMATIONAL INNOVATION**

**INVITATION TO INNOVATE:**

**HOW MIGHT WE REIMAGINE THE RELATIONSHIP OF CONSUMERS AND PRODUCERS?**
The food system of today is characterized by global supply chains, opaque transactions, and hidden costs for people and the environment. As the producers, procurers, movers, and sellers of food, large agro-industry players are steadily accumulating more wealth and power. How they choose to utilize this power is important for anyone who grows or eats food—that is, all of us. At the same time, consumer interest in understanding the origin, production methods, and environmental footprint of their food is on the rise. This demand is at odds with the increasing scale of industrialized agriculture and the accompanying land acquisitions that support it, which have spiked in the last decade. We may wake up in a future where big industry dictates terms to governments, consumers, and farmers alike.

In other industries, the sharing economy has enabled a peer-to-peer model that has upended traditional power brokers. Transformational innovation that builds upon the ethos of the sharing economy has the power to disintermediate established food systems actors. Such innovation could redraw the lines between consumers and producers, and bring more transparency and connectivity. Imagine a future where a seamless virtual reality (VR) experience could be used to introduce you to the producer of your food. Don’t speak the same language? Advanced translation technologies could help with that. Once you’ve met a farmer you trust to grow your food, you could personally invest in their farm by purchasing their specific cryptocurrency, to be exchanged for their crops at harvest. Using a sensor-enabled mini hologram, you could watch them grow your produce in real time. And using predictive behavioral modeling to indicate to farmers which product you want to eat before you want it, farmers could load mangoes or apples on a drone and deliver them just as you desire them.

**Emerging Innovations**

**Predictive Behavioral Modeling**

Description

What if you could know what someone was going to do before they did it? Predictive behavioral modeling holds this potential. It combines advances in big data, neuroscience, raw computing power, and analytics to predict the most likely behavior based on prior actions. Predictive behavioral monitoring could hold important implications for resource distribution, public safety, and even the concept of free will.

**Advanced Translation Technologies**

Description

Advanced translation technologies, such as DARPA’s Broad Operational Language Translation (BOLT), could be used to translate other languages in real time, potentially directly into your ear. If these were to become commercially available, they would have implications for any multi-lingual industry such as agriculture, tourism, diplomacy, and shipping.

**Cryptocurrency**

Description

Cryptocurrency is no longer a new concept, but the surface is still being scratched in terms of possible applications. These online currencies represent a decentralized system of value creation and transfer, meaning they are not tied to a centralized institution. Theoretically, this means that they represent a truer depiction of supply and demand. If cryptocurrencies proliferate, it could upend the current transaction systems of dollars and yuan by one that is explicitly tied to goods, a hi-tech equivalent of bartering.

**Virtual Reality**

Description

A seamless VR experience has long been the fantasy of gamers, but recently its potential applications have been reimagined. Among many other things, developers are interested in multi-person VR and how VR can be used to experience life in another person’s world. While there are certainly concerns that VR could further facilitate detachment, it also has the potential to educate and develop connections between previously disparate populations, including agricultural value chain actors.
There are signals today that point to humanity embracing its communal nature to such a degree that everything—all decisions, all flows of goods and people, and all norms and values—becomes global. But there are equally strong signals driving us toward an insular, isolationist state of the world. Our food systems need to be prepared for both realities, as the scale could tip in either’s favor. The option that offers the most resilience is to entirely close the loop of our food production and consumption systems; to ensure that all necessary energy, fertilizer, and water can be generated on a single farm or in a single community; to ensure that all animal and water waste, banana peels and chicken bones, discarded packaging and uneaten foods are converted into useful secondary products. To see seas of treasure in a pile of trash will require nothing short of bold, transformational innovation.
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Already rotten, wasted, and inedible portions of food can be turned into new products, such as bricks, shoes, packaging materials, and animal feed. Researchers in Italy developed a can lining from tomato peels that is a safe alternative to Bisphenol A (BPA); Studio Gionata Gatto in the Netherlands is making biodegradable lamps from fruit and vegetable waste. These companies are two of many working to find profitable secondary markets for wasted food and food byproducts.

Imagine a local cluster of farms able to exclusively support each other’s production. The local dairy farmer could use bacterial slurries to turn cow dung into a safe, nutrient-rich fertilizer for the local maize farmer; and use microbes to convert methane from the cow’s belching to electricity that feeds into the local microgrid. Husks and stalks left in the field after the maize harvest could be picked up by young entrepreneurs who process these byproducts into home storage items and furniture for local sale. And when a months-long drought threatens to destroy the local fruit producer’s entire harvest, water could be summoned from the air, soil, and other vegetation through advanced water harvesting technologies that eliminate the need for groundwater or reservoir connections.

For emerging innovations, we can look at microbes, bio-waste consumer goods, water harvesting, and next generation biofuels.

**Microbes**
Description
Fungi, bacteria, and viruses are often only thought of as pests in the agricultural system. But these microorganisms, all known as microbes, can be harnessed, or domesticated, to provide services that they perform naturally: decomposing organic matter, sanitizing and disinfecting diseased tissue, and converting one compound, such as CO₂, into another, such as electricity.

**Bio-Waste Consumer Goods**
Description
Already rotten, wasted, and inedible portions of food can be turned into new products, such as bricks, shoes, packaging materials, and animal feed. Researchers in Italy developed a can lining from tomato peels that is a safe alternative to Bisphenol A (BPA); Studio Gionata Gatto in the Netherlands is making biodegradable lamps from fruit and vegetable waste. These companies are two of many working to find profitable secondary markets for wasted food and food byproducts.

**Water Harvesting**
Description
Water harvesting, or collecting water at one time to be used for a different purpose later, isn’t new. Rainwater has been harvested for irrigation purposes for centuries. In recent years, graywater from bathrooms, kitchens, and washing machines has been harvested, treated, and reused. New technologies are making it possible to now harvest fresh water from fog, dry air, and even dryland vegetation.

**Next Gen. Biofuels**
Description
First-generation biofuels, such as ethanol, were created by fermenting sugar, starch, or vegetable oil, which threatens the food supply, biodiversity, and natural resource sustainability. Enter second-generation biofuels, which can be made from non-edible biomass such as leaves, stems, and husks, as well as processed waste products, such as fruit skins and pulp. BioUrja in India, for example, designed a small-scale anaerobic digestion system that allows farmers to convert biowaste to biogas.
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A chocolate bar from the supermarket costs $1-2 just about everywhere in the world. This may seem like a reasonable price given its ubiquity, but what if it isn’t? What if we could accurately price the deforestation, in terms of biodiversity loss and carbon release, from palm oil production—which is but one ingredient in a chocolate bar? Could we say for certain what another ingredient, cocoa, costs in lost educational attainment and exposure to environmental hazards for the 18 million children working on cocoa farms in the Ivory Coast and Ghana alone? And what if we could estimate the cost to public health via the chocolate bar-enabled diet-related diseases, like diabetes, that will manifest some time in the future? If we could value these externalities, and more, would we still think that a chocolate bar should cost only $1-2? But monetizing these environmental, societal, and health externalities, and integrating them into the price of goods, is a challenge requiring nothing short of transformational innovation.

THE CASE FOR TRANSFORMATIONAL INNOVATION

INVITATION TO INNOVATE:

HOW MIGHT WE ASSURE THAT ALL FOOD EVERYWHERE IS PRICED TO ACCOUNT FOR ITS TRUE COST?
True cost accounting is an evolving method for assessing the costs and benefits of food systems. It takes into account the miles food travels, man-hours spent cultivating crops, adjustments for imbalanced taxes and subsidies, natural resource use, soil and air pollution, diet-related health costs, and more. There are early signs that true cost accounting is gaining steam. In the last year, the Natural Capital Protocol and Social Capital Protocol have emerged to build methods for estimating environmental and social impacts. For instance, obesity-related healthcare costs the world $2 trillion each year, almost 3% of global GDP, and nitrogen fertilizer is three times cheaper to purchase than to clean an equivalent level of nitrogen pollution out of the soil and waterways. But, even if we discover how to account for all agricultural externalities, what would we do with the information? How might we ensure that it will be transmitted universally to enable better decision-making by and for all?

If true cost accounting protocols are supported from today on, and all agricultural externalities are calculated, our challenge in the future might be one of information sharing. A consumer in 2035 could begin his shopping experience outside a local market, arming himself with a personalized nutrition guide from his doctor. With this, he will be confident that every item he purchases aligns with his genetic makeup, helping to prevent chronic illnesses later in life. Inside the market, “Internet for All” combined with wearable technologies could enable a seamless VR experience with the True Cost Accounting Protocol, where an artificial intelligence (AI) advocate would accompany him through his shopping experience. The AI could explain why some chocolate bars are $2 while others are now $25, and how these costs have been tracked from source to market using blockchain-enabled transparency protocols, ultimately giving him confidence that his money has been well spent.
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THE CASE FOR TRANSFORMATIONAL INNOVATION

Today, 11% of the world’s land is used for crop production. An additional 26% is used for livestock production. Together, that’s over 45 billion hectares. How different would the world be if zero hectares were used for agriculture? Could some of that land be transitioned back to natural areas, where carbon emissions can be captured and biodiversity can thrive? Could some be used to house our expanding population in safe locations near to economic opportunities? We could imagine many ways to partition 45 billion acres of land to build a more sustainable, resilient world. In all likelihood, we would still dedicate many of those acres to food production. The logistical costs and risk of economic and social destabilization may be too great to bear a zero-farming future. But, if we only have 60 years of farming left if current rates of soil degradation continue, according to a senior official at the United Nations, then we owe it to future generations to explore this very idea.

INVITATION TO INNOVATE:

HOW MIGHT WE CREATE FARM-FREE FOODS?
Since the Green Revolution, agricultural yield improvements have partially offset the continued expansion of land dedicated to food production. But improved seeds and farming practices cannot hope to totally offset land conversion rates. This is especially so given that animal products dominate agricultural land use, accounting for 65 percent of the world’s agricultural land. Larger changes are needed, particularly if we are to meet the ever-increasing demand for meat protein in emerging markets. In a grim future of impending natural resource depletion through food production, offsetting traditional agriculture with farm-free foods is a must. But there’s hope: if 66% of the population resides in urban centers by 2050, and if advances in biology, data science, and engineering are geared toward food production, we can innovate our way out of this predicament.

How exactly could the next generation sustain itself on farm-free food? With synthetic biology, we could engineer seeds capable of growing in any condition. One could “plant” a seed in concrete, off the side of a building, or on the roof of a car—a whole new meaning to vertical farming. Local printshops could be reimagined as well. Instead of printing documents and signs with ink, printshops could use DNA sequences as a print medium to create on-demand proteins. Using a next-gen air filter, beneficial bacteria could be harvested from the air around us; the printshop owner could then add a solution of microbial compounds to the bacteria. With time, a patch of genetic material would grow, ripe for printing. Using a 4D printer, the genetic material could transform into a meat alternative over the course of hours. This local printshop could be just one cell in an organism of local businesses working together to create a farm-free ecosystem.
Data has emerged over the past two decades as one of the single most important commodities of the 21st Century. More data was created in the past two years than in the entire history of the human race. We also search for data at a staggering rate of 40,000 search queries per second, on Google alone. And we are on the precipice of an even more unprecedented deluge. The falling cost and size of computing processors will lead to true ubiquity. With this, data will be generated from seemingly impossible places—the wing of a bee, the root nodule of a pea plant, the inside of your digestive tract. All of this will be data that can be collected, mined, and analyzed. But to the benefit of whom? Can the rising tide lift all boats (or tractors) and help all food system actors compete in new and exciting ways? For the data revolution to be truly transformational it will need to help producers access new markets, bring more choices and lower prices to consumers, and help remedy a range of economic and environmental inefficiencies. The result would be a food system that rewards quality of product, and quality of process over access to private data caches.

INVITATION TO INNOVATE:
HOW MIGHT WE OPEN, SHARE, AND USE DATA ACROSS THE SUPPLY CHAIN TO ELIMINATE INFORMATION ASYMMETRIES?
Big data is part of the zeitgeist of the 21st Century. Yet it is largely under the purview of a few well-connected, well-funded private actors—and is used to their benefit, though certainly not exclusively. This trend, however, is creating massive information asymmetries. While economic principles aver that information asymmetries are a desirable outcome of a market economy, this traditionally applies to specialization within a field. For instance, a farmer with information asymmetries in her favor can grow better quality crops than her competitors. It is fair, though, to ask whether this still applies in the context of data collection and analysis on a global scale. When agro-industry uses information asymmetries in its favor, it becomes less about reliability and validity, and more about finding ways to drive costs down and price out competitors.

We live in an era where a straight line can be drawn between information and economic opportunity. To promote the interests of actors up and down the supply chain there is a need for open, shared, and usable data. First, this requires access. Internet for All would transform the internet from a commodity to a right. How useful this is for a farmer in Burkina Faso, a processor in Bolivia, or a transporter in Bhutan will depend on what is communicated. Blockchain offers the possibility of transparent, autonomous data sharing. If integrated with sensor technology it could create a stream of data and analytics throughout the supply chain. Personal satellites could be used by small- and medium-sized enterprises to pinpoint new market opportunities from space, such as farms from which to source lower-cost produce that would otherwise be gobbled up by multinationals. Once food is in the supply chain, intelligent packaging could be used to create transparency about how a product was produced, stored, and transported, thus ensuring that prices truly account for quality.
Regenerative agriculture presents a fundamental shift from the way things are currently done. Instead of chopping down forests to graze animals and plant row crops; instead of letting topsoil erode and carbon escape with every till of the plow; and instead of chemicals and soil running off into local waterways, restorative agriculture seeks to replace conventional agricultural practices with those that encourage ecological stewardship. Already, restorative agricultural practices are gaining traction. No-till farming, for example, has seen a renaissance in commercial agriculture in recent years. By simply skipping the step of turning over the soil before planting, no-till methods can prevent soil erosion, replace soil nutrients with organic humus, and sequester carbon emissions. If we used this simple regenerative technique on all cultivated land, it’s estimated that nearly 40% of all global carbon emissions could be offset. Imagine what further benefits could be achieved with ubiquitous transformation to regenerative agriculture.

**INVITATION TO INNOVATE:**

**HOW MIGHT WE TRANSFORM CONVENTIONAL AGRICULTURE INTO REGENERATIVE AGRICULTURE?**
Despite progress in no-till farming, early signals point to a global agricultural system unresponsive to the gravity of resource depletion. Agriculture is still the single greatest driver of deforestation, accounting for 80% in tropical and subtropical countries over the last decade. Further, agriculture remains the single largest user of freshwater resources, consuming an estimated 70% of global supplies. At the same time, agriculture drives the use of chemical pesticides, which has increased by 25 times in the last 50 years. And, the final nail in the coffin: a senior official at the United Nations believes that we only have 60 years left of farming (as we know it) if current rates of soil degradation continue. If we are to flip the script on this regrettable narrative in less than 20 years time, regenerative agriculture offers a host of options.

The regenerative farm of the future could mimic nature with the help of man. Complex polyculture fields could be calibrated with autonomous sensors responsive to every plant’s optimal nutrients and water levels, root depth, and sun exposure. If one falls out of balance, sensors could trigger tiny robots to bore into the soil to aerate the plant’s roots or release pheromones to attract a surge of pollinators. As a result, chemical application could be fine-tuned and reduced to a fraction of today’s usage. Water resources, on the other hand, could be dramatically offset through an endless cycle of use and reuse, made possible through industrial-scale biomimetic water filters, which could use both organic and synthetic materials to replicate a cell’s highly-selective and efficient transport of water molecules. Finally, farmers could be incentivized to continue protecting his or her soil, and sequestering carbon in its depths, by selling carbon credits on the global soil carbon market.

**Emerging Innovations**

**Next Gen. Sensors**

**Description**

Truly intelligent sensors do more than monitor environments and provide data—they are capable of analyzing that data and making decisions. This could be as simple as sending a signal to a microwave to keep it from overheating a meal, or as complex as redirecting a fraction of power from an artificial organ to a pacemaker, keeping a medical patient alive.

**Biomimetic Water Filters**

**Description**

Biomimetic water filters could use both organic and synthetic materials to replicate a cell’s highly-selective and efficient transport of water molecules across its membrane. Researchers are currently studying how aquaporin molecules, a naturally-existing protein that regulates osmosis, can be harnessed to restrict the passage of contaminants such as bacteria, viruses, minerals, proteins, DNA, dissolved gases, salts, and detergents without restricting the passage of water.

**Soil Carbon Markets**

**Description**

A soil carbon marketplace would allow farmers who practice regenerative methods to sell carbon credits to polluters for the carbon emissions they sequester in their soil. By setting industry limits for carbon emissions, those farmers able to store carbon could earn money by doing so, provided that a price can be assigned to the amount and benefits of soil carbon sequestration.
Imagine if every time you went to the market you saw a diverse selection of foods—exotic fruits, seasonal vegetables, noble and ancient grains—each of which you knew was grown nearby. Not because a label says so, but because locally produced foods always outperform and outprice imported foods. Now imagine 11 months from now, your region is hit by a storm that brings catastrophic flooding. When the water recedes, you return to that same market and the same selection of foods is available. Diversity, reliability, access, sustainability, and resilience. This is the opportunity that hyper-adaptive, localized polyculture offers. If scaled, it could bring these benefits to every corner of the planet—from New York City, USA to Ulaanbaatar, Mongolia.
Two of the dominant trends agriculture has experienced over the past 50 years—the shifts toward monoculture cropping and global supply chains—leave food systems vulnerable to climate change in important ways. First, monoculture is the agricultural equivalent of putting all eggs in one basket. With climate change it is only a matter of time before something happens to the basket. Further, the cultivation practices that allow for the large scale production of commodity crops degrade the environment, particularly in the form of soil erosion, which further increases the vulnerability of agricultural ecosystems. The second shift toward interconnected supply chains and markets that move food all over the world all but guarantees that a shock to a commodity crop in one area of the world will be felt throughout the system.

Our current reality is distinctly different from the scenario of hyper-adaptive, localized polyculture, which entails the cultivation of diverse crops, including traditional and exotic varieties, adapted to local conditions for sale to local consumers. But what innovations hold the potential to make this concept a reality? Synthetic biology could be used to create new species of crop varieties capable of growing in climates that previously would have been inhospitable. Combining this with the modeling abilities of quantum computing enables prediction of the likelihood of various climate and environmental risks to design crops to withstand them. Further, quantum computing could be used to ascertain the optimal composition of a polyculture agro-ecosystem, including ratios of crop varieties, other flora, insects, and soil microbes. Identifying and cultivating the optimal microbial species would support overall ecosystem resilience, helping agriculture adapt to the changing climate.
Since the beginning of human civilization, farming has primarily taken place in rural fields. Recently, urban agriculture has sprouted in cities all over the world. For now, urban agriculture is a niche movement, predominantly composed of small-scale community growers and, more recently, indoor farmers seeking increased efficiencies and year-round growing seasons. But rooftop farms and urban gardens will not feed megacities. What kind of transformation would be required for cities to produce all the food needed to feed their residents? For a world to emerge in which cities serve as the primary location for food production, and the longest distance a mango ever travels is a few stories down to the market on the ground floor, dramatic and transformational innovation would be required.
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The world is becoming more urban. In 1950, 30% of the world’s population lived in cities. Today, that number is over 50%, and it is estimated that 66% of global inhabitants will call a city home by 2050. Yet cities produce almost no food. This presents quite a conundrum—people must eat, but live in a place with no agriculture. Thus, food is brought in from the countryside via road and rail, and from other countries via ships and ports. The price of transporting food around the globe cannot be calculated in dollars alone, it must also be calculated in the resources used to ship, store, and cool food along the way. Further, when disruptions occur at any point along the complex supply chains that link distant city-based markets to their far-flung sources, food imports stall, prices rise, and, in worst case scenarios, food shortages trigger public unrest.

To create a world absent these problems it will take transformational innovation to build and scale a model of self-sufficient city-based agriculture. While we see early signals in the form of rooftop farms and zoning policies for urban agriculture, a truly self-sufficient model would require urban policymakers to develop a strong legal framework to guide the proliferation of vertical farms. Such policies could be built into requirements for property developers, nudging them to design new residential and commercial developments capable of growing enough food to sustain the people who live and work there. Other innovations that could enable this transformation include water harvesting systems that are integrated into buildings to direct water to indoor farms. Beyond plant-based foods, animal-based proteins will also need to be produced in new, city-friendly ways. Scientists capable of developing lab-based proteins could satisfy city inhabitants’ meat-tooth with proteins grown in labs.

**EMERGING INNOVATIONS**

**VERTICAL FARMING**
Description
Vertical farming is the practice of growing food using a vertical structure, such as multiple soil beds stacked upon one another. Vertical farming encompasses various technologies, such as hydroponics, aquaponics, and pink farming. State-of-the-art vertical farms utilize controlled environments to optimize growing conditions. Currently, the most economically viable crops for vertical farming are leafy greens. For the practice to proliferate models that produce profits as well as variety will be needed.

**LAB-BASED PROTEINS**
Description
A movement of scientists is aiming to synthesize animal protein in labs by synthesizing the DNA of cow’s milk or chicken eggs, and culturing it using yeast. Their goal is an end product indistinguishable from the real thing. While the science behind the world’s first test-tube hamburger cost over USD 300k, as the price comes down these lab-based proteins could become cheaper and more efficient (and perhaps safer) than traditional animal proteins.

**WATER HARVESTING**
Description
Water harvesting, or collecting water at one time to be used for a different purpose later, isn’t new. Rainwater has been harvested for irrigation purposes for centuries. In recent years, gray water from bathrooms, kitchens, and washing machines has been harvested, treated, and reused. New technologies are making it possible to now harvest fresh water from fog, dry air, and even dryland vegetation.

**URBAN AG. ZONING**
Description
Zoning policies are a staple of city planning, as they designate the potential uses for land. For cities to become truly self-sufficient, a legal framework for urban agriculture land use will be needed. Options could include a specific zone for urban agriculture, tax breaks or subsidies, or a mixed-use approach that requires new development to produce a certain amount of nutrients per resident.
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Envision a world in which everyone has the opportunity to sustain themselves and their families, find ways to pursue their passions, and participate in the global marketplace, no matter what region they inhabit. This would be a world of incredible possibilities, especially for those living in neglected rural areas. What benefits can be realized if mothers and fathers no longer had to worry whether their harvest will support their children until the next season? Those children would be able to experience the beauty of nature alongside the benefits of education. And when they grow up they would be able to contribute to their communities and humanity as a whole, helping to unlock the human potential of others. The benefits of such a future extend far beyond economics. Now, juxtapose this picture with one characterized by the continued accumulation of wealth at the top, and deprivation by those living at the bottom of the economic pyramid, often in rural contexts. This is an imperative to innovate.

INVITATION TO INNOVATE:

HOW MIGHT WE REPOSITION RURAL AREAS AS PLACES OF OPPORTUNITY?
The current trend of globalization has generated enormous wealth, lifted hundreds of millions of people out of poverty, and ushered in an era of unprecedented global cooperation, but it has also left many behind. This is evident between nations, but also within them, where the magnetism of cities has drawn struggling farmers in from the countryside in search of a better life. Additionally, the paucity of opportunity within large portions of the rural context in low-income countries has been compounded by urban migration. There is a lack of incentive to invest in rural areas where public goods like education and infrastructure must serve a distributed population. In the face of global environmental change, and as trends like automation lower operating costs and eliminate jobs, traditional livelihoods like farming and fishing become more difficult to sustain.

If we are to achieve a future in which everyone has the opportunity to feed themselves and their families, find ways to pursue their passions, and participate in the global marketplace, it will take bold, transformational innovation. Universal Basic Income, or UBI, would provide a living wage to every citizen irrespective of employment. Such a policy would decouple survival from labor, opening up tremendous resources in the form of human capital. Non-monetized food, which would treat food as a right, could have similar effects. A two-tiered food system would classify food staples as a right, but assign a price to other foods, like chocolate and soda. Another promising innovation is remuneration for data. As data increasingly becomes the fuel that powers economies, it follows that those generating data, like farmers with sensors in their tractors, should be paid for their contribution.

**Universal Basic Income**

UBI is experiencing a surge in popularity. While the many critics of UBI point to the way it divorces income from labor, the reality is that the changing economic landscape of the 21st century begs the question of what will happen to the labor economy. Efficiency gains from automation and robotics, combined with the burgeoning population, may reduce the need for labor and the availability of jobs. UBI is one approach to supporting people who will be hit hardest during this transition.

**Non-Monetized Food**

A non-monetized food system is founded on the idea that, in a world already capable of producing enough food for 10 billion people, everyone should be able to eat. We could, therefore, reposition food and its production not as a commodity to be bought and sold, but as a common good and right.

**Remuneration for Data**

Companies, particularly in the tech industry, currently treat data as their property. Data is collected by providing services on personal devices. Remuneration for data would treat data as the property of the individual or organization creating it. For companies to use that data, they would need to remunerate the creator accordingly. This would empower people all over the world to make choices about whether and how their data is used, and what they receive in return for it.
PART 4
CONCLUSION
When we began this Innovation Scan in April 2017, we hoped to uncover overlooked gaps in food systems in emerging markets. It’s within such gaps that we suspected to find the root causes of inefficiency, information asymmetry, and lost opportunity. By orienting our efforts toward innovation, we wanted to activate a global response to innovate within those spaces, creating reverberating impacts across supply chains and reducing post-harvest loss (PHL). Beckoning for transformational innovation was, and is, our charge.

Through this process of discovery we learned a lot about what’s needed now, in the next 5 years, and by 2035 for food systems in emerging markets to become more environmentally, economically, and socially sustainable. We’ve argued within this report that transformational innovation is a must if we’re to outpace the global forces bearing on the planet that threaten to render global food systems more inequitable, less integrated, and less vulnerable to change. Indeed, transformational innovation is uniquely fit for the task in scenarios in which both the nature of the problem and the pathway to a solution are somewhat unclear. We identified several such thorny problems ripe for transformational innovation through our Innovation Scan. We spoke to these challenges, and their resultant “Invitations for Innovation”, in Section 3: Emerging Innovations on pg. 77-102.

Closer to the present, core innovation (the current, standard area of innovation for a company) and adjacent innovation (that area of innovation just beyond current practice that entails serving existing customers and markets with a new product, process, or organization) offer just as much promise as transformational innovation in addressing a host of agricultural challenges. Our Innovation Scan also surfaced core and adjacent innovations that could be supported today to dramatically reduce PHL in emerging markets in 5 years. We described these “Investible Innovations” in Section 2 on pg. 20-76.
In Section 2, we shared profiles for 22 innovations that we believe offer the most promise to have a positive impact on smallholder supply chains in emerging markets in the next 5 years. From this list, we can point to clusters of innovation that would allow us to confront pressing challenges from different entry points, including:

**Innovations with the lowest barriers**

Some innovations, such as evaporative cooling systems and cooperative packaging solutions, offer quick wins. These low-hanging fruit are solutions positioned for value chain actors to adopt them quickly with minimal training and low up-front costs. While farmers would be able to see the effects of these near-farm innovations, it’s likely that their benefits—improvements in quality and shelf-life—won’t be realized until the crop moves further along the value chain. The challenge, therefore, is ensuring that farmers share in the financial benefits. Additionally, these quick-win innovations provide opportunities for local entrepreneurs to adapt, manufacture, and distribute these innovations in accordance with their local context. To encourage strong local entrepreneurship, governments investors or institutions could create first-loss capital guarantees to help de-risk investments for farmers who wish to invest in new innovations to reduce PHL.

**Innovations with the most PHL impact**

Other innovations, such as modular factories and near-farm mobile processing, were identified as having the most potential to reduce PHL. These innovations may not be quick-win solutions because they involve processing, which is labor- and energy-intensive, or logistics, which requires effective flows of knowledge and goods. If these considerations can be addressed, however, these innovations could offer the greatest opportunity to reduce PHL over the next 5 years.
INNOVATIONS WITH THE GREATEST SMALLHOLDER BENEFITS

We can also point to those innovations that offer benefits most likely to accrue to smallholders. In general, these innovations do this in one of two ways. First, they provide a value-added service that will enhance the quality of a product. Mobile pre-cooling & packhouses, for instance, offer near-farm cooling and storage that can significantly extend shelf life of a crop while preserving its nutritional quality. The second way the benefits of these innovations accrue to farmers is by helping farmers reach new markets, such as through specialty marketing and adaptable reefer containers.

ENVIRONMENTALLY SUSTAINABLE INNOVATIONS

There is also a cohort of innovations that offer the most benefits for environmental sustainability. Technologies like batteries and on-farm solar preservation offer the ability to bring clean energy to rural areas and bypass the negative consequences of conventional energy infrastructure. One innovation, microbes for PHL reduction, might offer positive effects for the environment in the form of improved soil fertility. Other environmentally sustainable innovations, such as evaporative cooling, offer substitutes to existing practices that pose negative externalities for the environment.
Another way to draw conclusions from the insights offered in the 22 Investible Innovations in Section 2 is to ask “which systems enablers and barriers, such as infrastructure and sociocultural considerations, are most influential in accelerating (or inhibiting) an innovation’s potential?” By scoring each innovation on the 9 Systems Enablers and Barriers (transportation infrastructure, energy infrastructure, ICT infrastructure, human resources, R&D, governance, private sector landscape, collaboration, and sociocultural considerations), we identified the relative degree of influence that these systems factors have across innovations. From this synthetic perspective, we observed which innovations are most vulnerable to specific systems factors. Our research shows that five innovations appear likely to face the most significant systems barriers (see chart at right).

**Innovations Facing Substantial Systems Barriers**
1. Cold chain as a service (pg. 53)
2. Early warning systems for plant disease and pests (pg. 63)
3. Improved traceability technologies (pg. 65)
4. Farm-to-fork virtual marketplace (pg. 69)
5. Mobile education centers (pg. 73)

**Ready for the Data Revolution?**
While it wasn’t our explicit pursuit in conducting this Innovation Scan, one conclusion we drew is that, absent substantial prioritization of systems improvements, many potential innovations will not deliver. Case in point: we introduced 15 data innovations to our expert panel at the beginning of the Delphi process (see pg. 22). Of those, only two—early warning systems for plant disease and pests and Improved traceability technologies—were prioritized by our expert panel. The resounding message we received is that, absent concerted efforts to improve transport and data infrastructure, smallholder value chains are not ready for the “data revolution”. But many of these innovations will be needed over the long-term, and thus many found their way into the longer term pictures of future innovation presented in Section 3: Emerging Innovations (pg. 77).
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When we shifted our scanning mechanism from the foreseeable near-term state of food systems in emerging markets to the more distant possible horizons of 2035, we picked up different innovation prospects. The 22 Investible Innovations, like evaporative cooling and cooperative packaging, are not among the list of emerging innovations that could shepherd food systems in emerging markets through the obstacle course of global trends presented in the WEF scenarios. What does this mean for the way we approach today’s problems versus those of tomorrow?

A pessimistic view might surmise that present bias prevents us from prioritizing solutions for complex global challenges for which the stakes of inaction today are greater in the years ahead, like climate change and urban migration. After all, can we expect a smallholder farmer to prioritize environmental sustainability, the top cross-cutting global force identified in this scan, if it doesn’t directly impact his or her ability to feed his or her family? But another interpretation might suggest that, if we want to address the large-scale global challenges on the horizon, we must work with actors in emerging markets food systems to ensure that they have the resources needed to maximize the existing potential of today’s food system. Innovation is one of the ways we can do this. Near-term innovations are needed to overcome those existing challenges related to immediate concerns, while emerging innovations like quantum computing and blockchain need to be supported today so that we may push the forces shaping the world in more desirable directions, and, in doing so, shape the future of food systems unfolding tomorrow.

Cross-cutting Global Forces

1. Environmental degradation
2. Climate change impacts
3. Vast urban opportunities in the face of rural decline
4. Hidden costs in global supply chains
5. Private sector influence

Top Emerging Innovations

1. Quantum computing
2. Synthetic biology
3. Blockchain
4. Next generation sensor technology
5. Microbes
In conclusion, the global forces that are driving us toward a future different from our world today, and the ten vignettes we created around them in Section 3 of this report, are not fixed destinations awaiting our arrival in 2035. Furthermore, these stories that illustrate how these forces might be met with emerging innovations are not exclusive. They may be combined in powerful ways, depending upon which forces come to ultimately exert the most significant effects on food systems in emerging markets. The future that we, and the community that contributed to this report, aspire to—of a sustainable, healthy, inclusive food system—is much bigger than four scenarios or ten Invitations for Innovation. And it will require all of us to take action. By engaging with this report and grappling with these challenges we are working toward that future together.

The GKI team would love to hear your reactions, questions, and ideas for engagement. Please contact us: Renee Vuillaume at renee@gkinitiative.org
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